

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_116244
Title of the Manuscript:	Securing the Digital Frontier: Strategies for Cloud Computing Security, Database Protection, and Comprehensive Penetration Testing
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Absolutely! This manuscript is highly relevant to the scientific community, offering valuable insights into emerging technologies and their implications for various industries. It addresses key issues such as cybersecurity, cloud computing security, data governance, and risk management, making it essential reading for professionals and researchers in these fields. The title "Riding the Wave: An Exploration of Emerging Technologies Reshaping Modern Industry" is suitable as it effectively captures the essence of the manuscript, emphasizing the impact of emerging technologies on various industries. Yes, the abstract provides a comprehensive overview of the manuscript, summarizing the key topics covered, including the exploration of emerging technologies and their reshaping effects on modern industry. The manuscript appears to be well-structured with clear subsections that likely aid in organizing the content effectively. Scientific correctness typically depends on factors such as the accuracy of data, validity of methodology, soundness of arguments, and adherence to established scientific principles within the relevant field. The references provided in the manuscript seem to cover a wide range of topics related to cybersecurity, cloud computing, artificial intelligence, and data governance. <p>additional suggestions/comments Consider adding references from leading cybersecurity journals for a more in-depth analysis. Including recent case studies would enhance the practical relevance of the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language quality meets scholarly standards, but some minor grammatical revisions are needed for clarity and precision.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript provides valuable insights into cybersecurity, but clarity and organization could be improved for better readability.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	T N Kavitha
Department, University & Country	SCSVMV, India