

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_116836
Title of the Manuscript:	Distribution of Integers Having a Divisor with an Odd Semi-prime
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This study provide significance to the community, and gives substance of ideas to students and other stakeholders. 2. Title is good, it pertains the context of the study. 3. Abstract <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) ABSTRACT should tell the highlights of your paper. b) Briefly state the methodology or theorem that describes the development of the research. c) Include the results as a statement that describes in the result section. d) It may also incorporate the conclusion of the research. e) Consider the appropriate keywords up to a maximum of five, and observe proper separation of each term/keyword. f) Observe abstract word limit up to 250. 4. – <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) Observe proper structure for every sections and their subsections. b) Separate statements or discussions which should identify by a section. c) Proper arrangement of sections. d) Sections should provide a precise good discussion. e) Maybe if there a standard format or common format when it comes for sections assignment <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. You may apply. 5. Sufficient 6. References <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) Follow the references standard guidelines in the publication. b) Observe and apply the APA Regulations in its latest version. c) It needs more references to support the statements and discussions. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Suggest for proper review the standard publication structure to follow the suggested sections/chapter.</p> <p>May consider an English critique for detailed assessment of the paragraph or statements</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript is unpublished, and it needs some improvement to expand its context to technological aspect to address the design, optimization, and services.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jose p. Ching, Jr.
Department, University & Country	University of Eastern Philippines, Philippines