

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_116256</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Impact of Nano DAP and Zn EDTA on cowpea growth and yield</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. Yes, after a careful review I found this manuscript to be important for the scientific community, it describes well the importance of fertilizer for cowpea production. However there were some drawbacks in the write up of this research, all the significant mistakes have been highlighted.</p> <p>2. The title is quite clear and describes well the aim of the research.</p> <p>3. Changes are suggested to be done in the abstract in order to make the research more clear for reader such as the full forms of abbreviations should be given when used first, later on just abbreviations can be used, it is comprehensive and describes the research in appropriate manner.</p> <p>4. Two sections materials and methods and references need improvement. Conclusion should be added. Other sections are well written.</p> <p>5. This research is need of time for increased production of Cowpea, One parameter was shown in table yet it was missing in the results section, the interpretation of it is must, as it helps to get the clear idea.</p> <p>6. This chapter needs careful improvement. A suggestion is mentioned for the most recent report's reference. Spelling of author's name should be correct, citation should be done with appropriate method.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Minor mistakes were there regarding English language and in section of material and methods it needs to be improved. As the consistency in language and well-structured sentences would lead to better coherence.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>I recommend to work on the mentioned suggestions in manuscript in order to strengthen the study more, revision is required for publication of this article.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Afifa Talpur</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sindh Agriculture University, Pakistan</b>