

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_116232
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of fruit harvest time on the nutritional and agronomic quality of oleaginous Citrullus lanatus seeds
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalijbcr.com/index.php/IJBCRR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes.</p> <p>Title of article is suitable.</p> <p>Abstract should be rewrite again with wide comprehensive data.</p> <p>Need some revision.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Sufficient, add some latest reference.</p>	1.
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Need major revision.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. Abstract part should be rechecked again.</p> <p>2. Aim of the research should be well defined in introduction part at the end.</p> <p>3. Extraction method to estimate biochemical parameters is not well defined in material method part. Which solvent is used for extraction.</p> <p>4. There are large no. of grammatical errors kindly revise and correct it in revised MS.</p> <p>5. In table no.1 and 2 kindly refer alphabets a,b, c... .What they indicates in table.</p> <p>6. Discussion part should be rewrite again in clear and concise manner and reference the previous study data.</p> <p>Conclusion part should be added with future aspects of the present study.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nisha Mehra
Department, University & Country	Shivalik College of Engineering, India