

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_113210
Title of the Manuscript:	STRESS MANAGEMENT AMONG STUDENTS OF DELTA STATE UNIVERSITY, ABRAKA, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript is relevant to the scientific community as it will be able to continue stimulating researchers to investigate further on the topic and come up with even better methods of stress management</p> <p>The title is suitable as it is, and clear</p> <p>The abstract is very comprehensive and contains necessary information</p> <p>The subsections and structure are appropriate</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct, even though the author should consider to add more intext citations as some parts have no scientific evidence such as the statement of the problem.</p> <p>The references are sufficient</p> <p>This study is good but it lacks clarity on sampling method, as it only mentions that <b>no sampling was done???? Noting that this is a quantitative study and it can adopt either probability or non probability techniques</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Generally, the quality of the language/English is suitable and clear</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>To proof read the article for language errors for example recommendation number one (1) as shown below. ( should be <b>avoided</b> instead of avoid)</p> <p>1. Unnecessary work load should be <b>avoid</b> so students could have enough time to rest.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Virginia Simasiku-Nchimunya</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Eden University, Zambia</b>