

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_113210
Title of the Manuscript:	STRESS MANAGEMENT AMONG STUDENTS OF DELTA STATE UNIVERSITY, ABRAKA, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for the scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Stress management among students is a significant and relevant topic in the scientific community. Understanding how students cope with stress not only contributes to their well-being but also provides insights into potential interventions and support systems. The findings could have implications for educational policies, and mental health programs, and contribute to the broader field of psychology and education research.</p> <p><b>Suggested Title:</b> "Enhancing Stress Resilience: A Study on Stress Management Strategies among Students at Delta State University, Abraka, Nigeria"</p> <p>Include in your abstract the duration of the study. When it was conducted?</p> <p>There is redundancy in the problem statement and research problem section. Are they not the same?</p> <p>I think it requires further editing, particularly on the presentation and interpretation of findings. Support the findings with additional literature review to make the generalization and conclusions stronger.</p> <p>Some references are quite old. Add more new and recent literature to back up the findings and conclusions.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Manuscript needs further editing.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ruth G. Luciano</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>College of Information and Communications Technology, Nueva Ecija University of Science and Technology, Philippines</b>