

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Ophthalmology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRROP_116159
Title of the Manuscript:	Giant nasopharyngeal tumor revealed by unilateral sixth nerve palsy : A case report
Type of the Article	CASE REPORT

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, The tumor's positioning, coupled with difficulties in conducting physical examinations and the deceptive symptoms resulting from nearby structure involvement, often lead to delays in diagnosis. This case report is notably valuable for dissemination, especially within the ophthalmological community, stressing the pivotal role in identifying nasopharyngeal tumors. The presence of asymptomatic and unusual ocular manifestations can perplex clinicians, highlighting the imperative for increased attentiveness and interdisciplinary cooperation in recognizing such instances.</p> <p>2. "Unilateral Sixth Nerve Palsy Unveiling a Giant Nasopharyngeal Tumor: A Rare Neuro-Ophthalmic Presentation – Case Report" - The suggested title remains unchanged from the original, maintaining clarity and professionalism while accurately summarizing the content of the case report.</p> <p>3. Yes 4. Yes 5. Yes 6. Yes 7.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	Overall, considering the clinical significance, originality, relevance to the audience, contribution to the literature, and adherence to standards of clarity and rigor, the manuscript holds substantial potential for publication.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Haripriya Chakravarthy</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Narayana Dental College and Hospital, Dr YSR University of Health Sciences, India</b>