

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Gastroenterology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRGA_116666
Title of the Manuscript:	Endoscopic Extraction of Foreign Bodies from The Upper Digestive Tract in Adults – A Case Study
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrrga.com/index.php/AJRRGA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Foreign body ingestion is one of the common accidental emergency among all the age group. Hence the manuscript is important for scientific community. Title is suitable. But as I go through the manuscript felt that this is not a case study, rather than presented the data in frequency percentage. Need to elaborate more Not as per the case study guidelines. Appreciate the author to take up a manuscript on one of the very relevant topic. But the manuscript is not in the form of a case study. Sufficient.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Suitable.	
Optional/General comments	The author has to submit the article as per the guidelines of case study. Font size and the format has to be in same throughout the manuscript. Since the area of the case study is quiet interesting recommends the author to reframe the manuscript as per the case study format for publication.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nandini Manndath
Department, University & Country	University of Bahrain, Bahrain