

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_116900
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effects of Digital Divide on the Educational Rights of Marginalised
Type of the Article	Review Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION comments</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Starting from the COVID-19 emergency, there has been a large number of articles on the digital divide.</li> <li>The title of the article is suitable.</li> <li>The abstract is comprehensive.</li> <li>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</li> <li>In my opinion, the content of the manuscript is scientifically correct, but adds nothing to the current state of research.</li> <li>The references are sufficient and recent. Maybe the authors could add the following article: Rossella Marisi, 'Distance Education Prompted By Covid-19 Lockdowns: A Challenge For Teaching Musical Performing Arts', Review of Artistic Education no. 23, 2022, 9 - 16</li> <li>The author use the term <i>lakh</i>, which may be commonly used and understood by readers from India, but should be nevertheless explained, as it is possible that the journal is read by readers not belonging to the Indian culture.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION comments</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	As a general rule, the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications. I have highlighted some words and sentences that should be improved.	
<p><b>Optional/General comments</b></p>	In my opinion, It should lead to some reflection on previous literature (for example, making comparisons, contrasts, citations of cases contrary to the general situation, etc.)	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Rossella Marisi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Conservatorio "L. D'Annunzio" – Pescara, Italy</b>