

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_116887</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Enhancing Interdisciplinary English Learning: A Systematic Review of the HyFlex Model's Strategies, Challenges, and Implications</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Systematic Review</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. This systematic review on the utilization of the HyFlex learning model in interdisciplinary English courses offers a comprehensive analysis of existing research, drawing insights from 24 empirical studies spanning from 2008 to 2023. The review not only showcases successful strategies for enhancing the HyFlex methodology, such as leveraging peer interactions and authentic assessments, but also identifies critical gaps like insufficient research on effectiveness and challenges in facilitating meaningful discourse. By highlighting the need for robust faculty training, institutional support, and inclusiveness for diverse student populations, this manuscript provides valuable recommendations for optimizing the HyFlex model. Educators, policymakers, and researchers can benefit from the insights presented in this review to enhance student engagement and learning outcomes in interdisciplinary English education.</li> <li>2. The title of the article, "Enhancing Interdisciplinary English Learning: A Systematic Review of the HyFlex Model's Strategies, Challenges, and Implications," is suitable as it accurately reflects the content and focus of the systematic review on the utilization of the HyFlex learning model in interdisciplinary English courses. It effectively conveys the key elements covered in the review, including strategies, challenges, and implications of implementing the HyFlex model in the context of interdisciplinary English education.</li> <li>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive as it provides a detailed overview of the systematic review on the utilization of the HyFlex learning model in interdisciplinary English courses. It effectively summarizes the key aspects covered in the review, including strategies, challenges, and implications of implementing the HyFlex model, as well as the recommendations for enhancing student engagement and learning outcomes. The abstract sets a strong foundation for understanding the scope and significance of the research presented in the manuscript.</li> <li>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript appear appropriate as they follow a logical progression from the abstract to the introduction, methodology, results, discussion, and conclusion. Each section is clearly defined and contributes to the overall coherence of the manuscript. The systematic approach in presenting the research objectives, methodology, results, and implications enhances the readability and organization of the manuscript, making it easy for readers to navigate through the content.</li> <li>5. The manuscript appears scientifically correct based on the information provided in the search results. It follows a systematic review approach, clearly outlining the research objectives, methodology, data extraction process, quality assessment, data analysis, and interpretation of findings. The inclusion of research questions, key findings, identified gaps, and recommendations aligns with the scientific rigor expected in a systematic review. The manuscript demonstrates a scholarly approach to consolidating existing knowledge, identifying gaps, and providing evidence-based insights for educators and researchers.</li> <li>6. The references cited in the manuscript are sufficient and recent, covering a range of studies published between 2008 and 2023. The inclusion of diverse sources from peer-reviewed journals and conference proceedings enhances the credibility of the research presented. However, if additional references are needed to further strengthen the manuscript, it would be beneficial to consider recent studies that delve deeper into specific aspects of the HyFlex model's implementation in interdisciplinary English courses, such as the effectiveness of different participation modes or the impact on student learning outcomes</li> </ol>	

## Review Form 1.7

<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	1. The language and English quality of the article presented in the systematic review on the HyFlex learning model in interdisciplinary English courses are suitable for scholarly communications. The article demonstrates a high level of academic writing, clarity, and precision in conveying complex concepts related to the utilization of the HyFlex model in interdisciplinary English education. The use of appropriate terminology, structured sections, and coherent arguments throughout the manuscript align with the standards expected in scholarly publications. Overall, the language quality of the article is well-suited for scholarly communication within the academic community.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Fahim Khatoon</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>AIOU, Pakistan</b>