

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Dental Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJDS_116801
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE EVALUATION OF SURFACE ROUGHNESS USING TWO DIFFERENT MECHANICAL SURFACE TREATMENTS AND THEIR EFFECT ON MICROSHEAR BOND STRENGTH USING DIFFERENT NANOCOMPOSITES- AN INVITRO SEM STUDY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The article has debatable elements in the literature, so they are variables that increase the evidence. In the title it designates the methodology of microshear, in the objective also but in the methodological description, its title speaks of microtensile. better determine and standardize. The abstract is understandable, but there is a need for better formatting Review the subsections and format of the journal, in the format there is no objectives subsection, it does not present materials and methods and another methodology. The manuscript has a good outline, it only confuses, for several times it confuses microtensile with microshear. In the references without enough, I would change 2 and 9, for. its low quality. no thermocycling results are observed 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	John Alexis Dominguez
Department, University & Country	Universidad Peana Cayetano Heredia, Peru