

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_116797
Title of the Manuscript:	Personal profile of Cooperative and Private Agri input dealers in Jaipur region of Rajasthan
Type of the Article	Original research

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The topic of the research is contemporary and worth to be researched with positive economic and financial implications</p> <p>The text is simple and easy to follow.</p> <p>However, the trail of thoughts is streamlined, but unfortunately not validated with relevant references.</p> <p>It needs proofreading and editing [See attached Reviewed, marked-up, and commented Manuscript]</p> <p>The abstract is fair though missing the objective of the work and implications of the research.</p> <p>Keywords are adequate</p> <p>The introduction is short and inadequate because It is not supported enough with relevant and updated references. Hence, as is serves minimally the paper's objectives. Elaborate this part and validate with reported literature on the subject Also, add What is the objective of this research? How is this paper organized?</p> <p>The methodology is clearly addressed. See suggestions. [in attached Corrected Manuscript]</p> <p>The results are fine.</p> <p>The discussion is fine and validated</p> <p>The conclusion is adequate but you need to address the implications</p> <p>It is necessary to elaborate on the Limitations of the study and add future research</p> <p>Are there any limitations to the research??? Future work????</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Review carefully THE WRITE-UP OF THE REFERENCES FOR CONSISTENCT AND COMPLETENESS, AND TO MATCH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE Journal!!</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hussin Jose Hejase
Department, University & Country	Al Maaref University, Lebanon