

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_116382
Title of the Manuscript:	ANALYSIS OF CONSTRAINTS FACED BY SUGARCANE GROWERS IN HARYANA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>- This research article seems to be beneficial for a portion of some researchers, farmers and those involved in decision making. The logicity of this paper is adequate. Meanwhile, a promising discussion is given. The study abstract and background have been presented clearly, despite of the lack in figures in the article. Please check the paper carefully and make revisions according to the suggestions below.</p> <p>- I can suggest a new title to be: MONITORING FOR THE CONSTRAINTS FACED BY SUGARCANE GROWERS IN HARYANA AND PROMOTING SOME SUGGESTED WAYS FOR SOLVING THEM</p> <p>- The normal form for the abstract is to be written in one continuous paragraph without any subdivisions, but if the way used in writing this article was in accordance to the AJARRS Journal instructions so it could be approved.</p> <p>- Could be appropriate after rephrasing the abstract, and recommendations for follow-up or future work on this topic should be made.as well.</p> <p>- Scientifically the manuscript is appropriate</p> <p>- I believe that introduction section could be strengthened after adding some references from literature related to the mentioned article</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>It is suggested that the author go through the paper and do a quick check on probable grammatical errors and existing spelling mistakes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Eyad Khalaf</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Science &amp; Technology Center of Excellence, National Organization of Military Production, Egypt</b>