

Original Research Article

**ANALYSIS OF CONSTRAINTS FACED BY SUGARCANE GROWERS IN
HARYANA**

ABSTRACT

Context: Sugarcane is one of the most important cash crop globally. In Haryana, particularly in the districts of Yamuna Nagar, Kaithal, and Rohtak, sugarcane production is significant. However, the adoption of production technology among sugarcane growers in these areas faces various constraints, impacting productivity and profitability.


Objectives: This study aims to identify the constraints faced by sugarcane growers in adopting production technology in Haryana, comprehensively analyse the constraints exclusively faced by sugarcane growers and explore potential interventions to address these challenges effectively.

Methods: Data for this study was collected from 120 sugarcane growers selected through a randomized process from six villages across the three districts. In order to gather information about the difficulties sugarcane growers had in implementing the production technique, structured interviews were conducted in 2023. Statistical analysis was employed to analyze the data and interpret the findings.

Results & Discussion: The findings indicate that a majority of sugarcane growers (56.70%) experienced medium constraints, while 22.50% and 20.80% faced high and low constraints, respectively. Key challenges identified include high labor charges during harvesting, elevated transportation costs, labor shortages during peak periods, variability in agro-climatic conditions, and high prices of plant protection chemicals. Additionally, farmers expressed difficulties related to inadequate guidance on marketing strategies, limited awareness of modern advancements in sugarcane cultivation, low Fair and Remunerative Price (FRP) and State Advised Price (SAP) for their produce, insufficient financial resources for input purchases, and a lack of guidance on pest and disease management.

Significance: These findings underscore the need for targeted interventions, such as awareness campaigns, improved supply chains, affordability of inputs, and pest management strategies, to enhance sugarcane production in Haryana.

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT



Key words: Constraints, Sugarcane production technology, Sugarcane growers, Challenges

INTRODUCTION

Sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum*) is one of the most significant crops in the world, producing a substantial amount of sugar, bioenergy, and other byproducts. Although sugarcane is cultivated in numerous countries throughout the world, Brazil, India, China, and Thailand are the top producers. India's largest agro-industrial crop, sugarcane, takes up a staggering 4.85 million hectares of the nation's land (Statistical Abstract of Haryana, 2021-22). The average cane yield in India is approximately 73.00 tonnes per hectare, with a sugar recovery rate of around 10.00 per cent. However, there is potential for increasing the average cane yield to 100 tonnes per hectare and the sugar recovery rate to 11.00 per cent through the transfer of new technologies to farmers' fields (ICAR statistics, 2021). Due to the enormous potential for generating sugar and other byproducts of sugarcane for the domestic market, it is the most important cash crop in the nation.

Haryana, located in northern India, is known for its agricultural productivity, and sugarcane is an important crop in the state with an average yield of 86.18 tonnes per hectare of sugarcane despite of having harsh weather conditions in the state (Anonymous,

2020). Haryana comprises a total area of 99.00 thousand hectares under sugarcane with a reported production of 858.00 thousand tonnes in the year 2020-21 (Statistical Abstract of Haryana, 2021-22). There is potential to increase the sugarcane production in Haryana, but the trend has rather been constant over the years showing no drastic increase. Hence, there was a necessity to examine the issues and hurdles encountered by sugarcane growers, which could then serve as a valuable asset for researchers, extension personnel, and policymakers aiming to enhance sugarcane production in Haryana.

The goal of this study is to identify the many obstacles that Haryana's sugarcane producers face while using new technologies for sugarcane production. The objective is to successfully overcome these barriers in order to promote higher adoption rates and increase the state's sugarcane production and productivity.

METHODOLOGY

The present study was conducted in three districts of Haryana, namely Yamuna Nagar, Kaithal and Rohtak selected purposively. One block was chosen at random from each of the three selected districts i.e., Chhachhrauli block from Yamunanagar, Pundri block from Kaithal and Rohtak block from Rohtak. After the selection of blocks, two villages from each block were selected randomly. Mand Kheri and Panjeto selected from Chhachhrauli, Rasina and Sanch selected from Pundri, Rithal and Kahniselected from Rohtak block. Twenty farmers from each of the six villages were randomly selected. Hence, a total of 120 farmers were selected as a sample for the present study and interviewed to gather data on the constraints they faced in adopting sugarcane production technology.

The operational definition of constraints is the challenges farmers face while using new technologies for sugarcane production. A total of 16 constraints faced by the farmers were taken in the present study which were related to inputs, marketing, production, technical guidance etc. Each constraint was categorized in three categories. Constraints perceived by the farmer as 'not so serious' were awarded a score of 1, 'serious' constraints were awarded a score of 2, and 'very serious' were awarded a score of 3. To analyse the constraints statistical tools like total weighted score and weighted mean score were used.

RESULTS

Distribution of the respondents on the basis of overall constraints perceived: The respondents' constraints scores were analysed to categorize them into three groups Low (<26.27), Medium (26.28 to 30.48), and High (>30.48) based on the calculated mean and standard deviation. The data in Table 1 reveals that 56.70 per cent of the total respondents fell into the medium constraints group, indicating a moderate level of perceived constraints. Furthermore, 22.50 per cent of the respondents were classified in the high constraints group, indicating a relatively higher level of perceived constraints. The remaining 20.80 percent of the respondents belonged to the low constraints group, suggesting a comparatively lower level

of perceived constraints in the study area.

Table 1: Distribution of the respondents on the basis of overall constraints perceived (n=120)

S. No.	Categories	Frequency	Percentage
1	Low (<26.27)	25	20.80
2	Medium (26.27-30.48)	68	56.70
3	High (>30.48)	27	22.50

Further, the constraints identified were classified into four distinct categories i.e. constraints related to inputs, constraints related to marketing, constraints related to production and constraints related to technical guidance. The findings related to each of these categories are presented in the following tables.

Constraints related to inputs: The data in the Table 2 reveals that high labour charges during harvesting, non-availability of labour at peak time and high prices of insecticides and pesticides were major constraints faced by the farmers related to inputs with weighted mean score of 2.95, 2.45 and 2.00 and rank I, II & III, respectively. Additionally, lack of finance for purchase of inputs, non-availability of quality seed and non-availability of inputs at proper time were ranked IV, V, VI with WMS 1.85, 1.25 & 1.00, respectively.

Constraints related to marketing: Upon reviewing Table 3, it was determined that the primary constraint associated with marketing was either insufficient transport facilities or elevated transportation costs, which received a weighted mean score of 2.5. Then came poor advice on when and where to market the produce, as well as low Fair and Remunerative Price (FRP), State Advised Price (SAP), or reduced returns for the produce (weighted mean scores of 1.95 and 1.9, respectively).

Constraints related to production: The data in Table 4 depicted that major constraint related to production faced by the sugarcane growers were mainly uneven agro-climatic conditions in the investigation area, followed by lack of trainings to improve skills of farmer and labour, lack of proper cropping sequence followed by farmers & problem of stray animals with WMS 2.05, 1.30, 1.16 & 1.11, respectively.

Constraints related to technical guidance: Table 5 unveiled that one of the technical guidance constraints with WMS 1.95 was lack of knowledge about the latest advancements in sugarcane cultivation. This was followed by lack of guidance on disease and insect control as well as application, and lack of guidance on fertiliser application with WMS 1.67 and 1.28, respectively.

Table 2: Constraints related to inputs

S. No.	Particular	TWS	WMS	Rank
1	High labour charges during harvesting	354	2.95	I
2	Labour shortages at peak time	294	2.45	II
3	High price of insecticides/pesticides and fungicides	240	2.00	III
4	Lack of finance for purchase of inputs	222	1.85	IV
5	Non availability of quality seed	150	1.25	V
6	Non availability of inputs at proper time	120	1.00	VI

Table 3: Constraints related to marketing

S. No.	Particular	TWS	WMS	Rank
1	Lack of transport facilities and disposal of produce/ High transportation charges	300	2.5	I
2	Lack of guidance for proper time and place for marketing	234	1.95	II
3	Low FRP and SAP for the produce/ Low returns	228	1.90	III

Table 4: Constraints related to production

S. No.	Particular	TWS	WMS	Rank
1	Uneven agro-climatic conditions	246	2.05	I
2	Farmer and labour are not skilled due to lack of trainings	156	1.30	II
3	Lack of proper cropping sequence followed by farmers	139	1.16	III
4	Problem of stray animals	133	1.11	IV

Table 5: Constraints related to technical guidance

S. No.	Particular	TWS	WMS	Rank
1	Lack of knowledge of current advances for sugarcane cultivation	234	1.95	I
2	Lack of guidance for controlling insect-pest and disease and application of insecticides/pesticides and fungicides	201	1.67	II
3	Lack of guidance for selecting cultivars and fertilizer application	154	1.28	III

DISCUSSION

Constraints faced by sugarcane growers: Analysis of the data presented in Table 1 shows that 56.70 percent of the respondents were classified under the medium constraint category, while 22.50 percent encountered high levels of constraints. A smaller proportion, 20.80 percent, experienced low constraints. These results suggest that a significant number of sugarcane growing farmers encounter moderate to high levels of challenges in their cultivation practices.

Addressing these constraints through targeted interventions, such as providing improved access to resources, training on pest and disease management, promoting risk mitigation strategies, and facilitating market linkages, can help alleviate the challenges faced by sugarcane growing farmers and improve their overall productivity and profitability. Godara *et al.* (2020) also obtained similar results.

Input constraints as shown in Table 2 clearly shows that high labour charges and unavailability of labour at peak time were the key problems faced by the farmers which were followed by high prices for plant protection chemicals and a lack of funding for input purchases. The respondents ranked the lack of quality seed and delayed input availability as the least important constraints they encountered. The results are found in line with Nirmala & Muthuraman (2009), Lahoti *et al.* (2010), Desai *et al.* (2020), Godara *et al.* (2020), Vishwakarma *et al.* (2020) and Noopur *et al.* (2023).

Table 3 shows that the biggest marketing constraints were either high transportation costs or a lack of facilities for the produce, followed by a lack of guidance regarding the best time and location for marketing, and the least effective marketing constraints were low support prices and low produce returns. Similar results are obtained by Katke & Deshmukh (2012), Rai *et al.* (2012), Ahmed *et al.* (2016) and Kandpal (2022).

Among the constraints related to production (Table 4), uneven agro-climatic conditions, followed by lack of skill-based trainings were main constraints, while lack of proper cropping sequence, problem of stray animals were the least serious constraints. These findings are in line with the findings of Rai *et al.* (2012) and Vishwakarma *et al.* (2020).

In case of constraints related to technical guidance (Table 5), lack of knowledge about the current advances in sugarcane cultivation ranked I which was followed by lack of guidance for pest and disease control and lack of guidance for selecting cultivars and fertilizer application. Similar results were obtained by Singh *et al.* (2007), Lahoti *et al.* (2010), Khandreet *et al.* (2015), Ahmed *et al.* (2016), Vishwakarma *et al.* (2020) in their respective studies regarding sugarcane production technology.

Suggestions to mitigate the problems faced by the farmers: Several strategies can be employed to address the challenges encountered by the sugarcane growers. Addressing labor constraints requires a multifaceted approach. Skill development programs can enhance labor efficiency (Meena *et al.*, 2009) while mechanization can reduce the reliance on manual labor. Forming labor cooperatives allows for resource-sharing during peak seasons. High input

costs can be mitigated through government subsidies on seeds, fertilizers, and pesticides. Measures can also be taken to raise awareness (Kumar *et. al.*, 2005) and adoption of bio-pesticides and insecticides for sustainable sugarcane production. Promoting organic farming methods, which often require fewer inputs, is also beneficial. Appropriate supply and value chain measures such as good transport and market facilities should be created for farmer to fetch higher prices and reduce wastage. Tackling marketing challenges will include establishing better market linkages and providing real-time price information to farmers. Given the agro-climatic variability, encouraging crop diversification and promoting climate-resilient varieties can mitigate risks associated with changing weather patterns. Measures should be taken to improve technical guidance by strengthening agricultural extension services, educating farmers about integrated pest management, and emphasizing soil health management. Additionally, ensuring financial inclusion through accessible credit facilities (Dwivedi *et. al.*, 2006) and promoting crop insurance schemes is crucial. Collaborative efforts from policymakers, experts, and farmers are essential for effective implementation of these suggestions.

CONCLUSION

More than half of the respondents (56.70%) were in medium constraints group, followed by 22.50 per cent of the respondents in high constraints group and 20.80 per cent in low constraints group. Regarding constraints related to inputs; high labour charges, labour shortage during peak time and high price of plant protection chemicals were the major constraints faced by the farmers. The primary marketing-related obstacles were high transportation costs/lack of transport and disposal facilities, low produce returns, and a lack of information regarding the best time and location for marketing. One of the main production-related obstacles was the unequal agroclimatic conditions. Lack of understanding about recent developments in sugarcane farming was one of the main constraints on technical guidance, which was followed by a lack of advice on managing insect pests and diseases, choosing cultivars, and applying fertiliser.

Data availability: Data would be made available on request.

HIGHLIGHTS

- Identifying the various challenges and constraints faced by sugarcane growers in Haryana when adopting production technology.
- Comprehensive analysis of constraints faced exclusively by sugarcane growers in Haryana.

- Discussing potential solutions and interventions to address the identified constraints

UNDER PEER REVIEW

REFERENCES:

- Ahmed, P., Nath, R. K. and Sarmah A. C. (2016). Production Constraints of Sugarcane cultivation in Tinsukia District of Assam. *Int. J. of Agric. Sci.*, 8(62): 3540- 3541.
- Anonymous. (2020). Data retrieved from pdf downloaded from <https://sugarcane.dac.gov.in/pdf/StatisticsAPY.pdf>.
- Desai, A. V., Maske, K. V. and Zhagde, P. M. (2023). Constraints faced by sugarcane growers in Sindhudurg district. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, 12(12): 1543-1545.
- Dwivedi, R. P.; Chauhan, J.; Kareemulla, K.; Rizvi, R.H.; Singh, R. and Dwivedi, K. (2006). Constraints in marketing of agro forestry products in western U.P. *Indian Res. J. Ext. Edu.*, 6 (1& 2): 24-26.
- Godara, A., Kumar, V., Ghosly, A. K. and Kumar, J. (2020). Knowledge of Farmers about Production Technology of Sugarcane in Sri Ganganagar District of Rajasthan, India. *International Journal of Current Microbiology Applied Sciences*, 9(4): 245-248.
- ICAR statistics. (2021). Sugarcane in India - A statistical profile. Data retrieved from <https://sugarcane.icar.gov.in/images/sbi/charts/area.pdf?phpMyAdmin=11c501a2a5dt888ed>.
- Katke, S. P. and Deshmukh, P. R. (2011). Adoption of dryland cotton production technology by the farmers in Parbhani district. *Agriculture Update*.7(1&2): 11-13.
- Kandpal, A.S. (2022). Adoption level and constraints faced by mushroom cultivators in Uttarakhand. *Indian Res. J. Ext. Edu.*, 22 (3): 110-114
- Khandre, A. V., Ekale, J. V. and Ahire, R. D. (2015). Constraints faced by the respondents in adoption of sugarcane production technologies. *Agriculture Update*. 10(4): 307-311.
- Kumar, A.; Sharma, A.K. and Chauhan, J. (2005). Constraints in adoption of quality seeds. *Indian Res. J. Ext. Edu.*, 5 (1): 69-71.
- Lahoti, S. R., Chole R. R. and Rathi, N. R. (2010). Constraints in adoption of sugarcane production technology. *Agricultural Science Digest*, 30(4): 270-272.
- Meena, M.S.; Prasad, M. and Singh, R. (2009). Constraints perceived by rural agro-processors in adopting modern post-harvest technologies. *Indian Res. J. Ext. Edu.*,9 (1): 1-5.
- Nirmala, B and Muthuraman, P. (2009). Economic and constraint analysis of rice cultivation in Kaithal district of Haryana. *Indian Res. J. Ext. Edu.*, 9(1):47-49
- Noopur, K., Chauhan, J. K., Walia, S. S., Verma, M. R., Dhar, U, Choudhary, S. and Chikkeri, S. S. (2023). Constraints in Vegetable Production in India: A Review. *Indian Res. J. Ext. Edu.*, 23 (3): 14-19.

Rai, D. P., Singh, S. K. and Pandey, S. K. (2012). Socio-personal analysis and constraints encountered by the sugarcane growers of Burhanpur district (M.P.). *Agriculture Update*. 7(3&4): 401-404.

Singh, S.N.; Singh, V.K.; Singh, R.K. and Singh, R.K. (2007)^b. Adoption constraints of pigeonpea cultivation in lucknow district of central Uttar Pradesh. *Indian Res. J. Ext. Edu.*, 7 (1): 34-35.

Statistical Abstract of Haryana. (2021-22). Pdf downloaded from <https://esaharyana.gov.in/document/state-statistical-abstract-of-haryana-2021-22/>.

Vishwakarma, N., Sangode, P. K. and Khan, M. A. (2020). Problems faced by the sugarcane growers and suggestions given to improve the adoption of recommended sugarcane production technology. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 10(1): 643-645.

UNDER PEER REVIEW