

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_116341
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of organic and natural farming practices on growth and development parameters of joha rice
Type of the Article	Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript is extremely important for scientific community as it detailed the impact of organic and natural farming practices on growth and development parameters of joha rice</p> <p>2. “Assessment of effect of organic and natural farming practices on growth and development parameters of joha rice” would have been apt title.</p> <p>3. Yes the abstract of the article have meticulously represented the essence of the manuscript.</p> <p>4. Yes the subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate but if possible acknowledgement should be added.</p> <p>5. Yes the manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>6. No the references were not sufficient. 7-8nos. Of more reference should be added. Also the references provided in the article are older than by 15-20years. Some recent work should have been included</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The language of the article is suitable for scholarly communications but can be improved by adding more scientific reasons in the result & discussion part of the manuscript.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	More scientific thoughts should be included in the result & discussion part.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Niroj Kumar Jena
Department, University & Country	Krishi Vgyan Kendra, India