

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_116246
Title of the Manuscript:	CyberFusion Protocols: Strategic Integration of Enterprise Risk Management, ISO 27001, and Mobile Forensics for Advanced Digital Security in the Modern Business Ecosystem
Type of the Article	Research

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes. The study reveals that an integrated approach considerably enhances an organization's ability to manage digital risks when compared to a traditional approach, enhancing strategic digital security management, improving risk identification, assessment, and mitigation capabilities, strengthening information security management practices, and increasing the effectiveness and efficiency of digital crime investigations.</p> <p>2. Title is appropriate</p> <p>3. Abstract is comprehensive</p> <p>4. Manuscript is appropriate</p> <p>5. According to the article, the suggested hybrid methos may improve security. In the future, the writers can time-test it and analyze its worth in terms of security issues.</p> <p>6. References are good</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The definition and impact of the parameters in the results section must be provided.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Puja Padiya
Department, University & Country	Ramrao Adik Institute of Technology, D Y Patil Deemed to be University, India