

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_116246
Title of the Manuscript:	CyberFusion Protocols: Strategic Integration of Enterprise Risk Management, ISO 27001, and Mobile Forensics for Advanced Digital Security in the Modern Business Ecosystem
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community. It addresses a critical issue in the field of cybersecurity, which is the need for a more comprehensive and integrated approach to managing digital security risks within modern business ecosystems. 2. The title of the article is suitable as it accurately reflects the content and focus of the research. It effectively communicates the key elements of the study, which include the strategic integration of Enterprise Risk Management (ERM), ISO 27001, and mobile forensics for enhancing digital security within modern business environments. The title encapsulates the core theme of the research, emphasizing the importance of a cohesive approach to digital security management. <p>I suggest some alternative titles that are suitable for a research topic</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. "Enhancing Digital Security: The Synergistic Integration of ERM, ISO 27001, and Mobile Forensics in Modern Business Environments". b. "Strategic Integration of Enterprise Risk Management, ISO 27001, and Mobile Forensics for Enhanced Digital Security in Modern Organizations" c. "Navigating the Digital Risk Landscape: A Comprehensive Approach to Digital Security through ERM, ISO 27001, and Mobile Forensics". <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive and provides a clear overview of the research study. 4. The manuscript is well-organized and covers all the necessary components of a research paper, including an introduction, literature review, research design, methodology, results, and discussion. The author provides a clear and concise explanation of the research problem, the theoretical framework, and the research questions. The literature review is comprehensive, covering relevant studies and theories related to Enterprise Risk Management (ERM), ISO 27001, and mobile forensics. The research design and methodology are well-explained, providing a clear understanding of the methodology used and the data analysis techniques employed. The results are presented clearly and concisely, with appropriate visual aids to support the findings. 5. The manuscript is scientifically correct in its presentation of the literature review, methodology, and results. 6. Yes, the references cited are sufficient and recent, with the majority of them published within the last five years. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The article is well-written and clear, with proper grammar, spelling, and punctuation.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">----- Make sure that you completely Follow a journal formats</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Osama Alshannaq
Department, University & Country	Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka, Jordan