

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_116981
Title of the Manuscript:	Demonstration of Integrated Nutrient Management practices on Yield Attributes, Yield and Economics of Pomegranate (cv. Bhagwa) under Arid Zone of Andhra Pradesh
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the study focuses on integrated nutrient application vs inorganic on Pomegranate were demonstrated on farmer's field where yield and growth attributed.</p> <p>Yes, 'Demonstration of Integrated Nutrient Management practices on Yield, Yield Attributes, and Economics of Pomegranate (cv. Bhagwa) in Arid Zone of Andhra Pradesh'</p> <p>Yes, the content may need a brief recommendation of the results observed.</p> <p>No. The author should take time in formatting write up into section for result part.</p> <p>Well, Yes, the study showed the different nutrient management practices in comparison to the farmer's practices.</p> <p>Yes, but the author should check the missed lists in the reference.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The author used simple and easy sentence construction where the readers can understand.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The materials and methods section need some clarity. The experiment conducted in farmer's field. But the treatments applied, the method used to manage the treatments, the plot used to test the factors, the layout, the design and number of replications, the parameters recorded and the statistical procedure or probability test used not clear.</p> <p>The article also lack uniformity if citation style. In some places the authors cited in numbering but in other sections of the article the author name and number is used which need correction.</p> <p>Instead of stating blindly, it is good if the rate/amount of irrigation water used can be disclosed in the writing.</p> <p>There are some similar works, but the author need to justify well the importance of present work.</p> <p>The manuscript is well prepared and addressed the area of need.</p> <p>But the methodology section need a little bit elaboration on the treatment types and methods of application, the design and layout, the sample size considered for observation, the parameters recorded, etc.</p> <p>There are many missed citation and lack of uniformity in citation.</p> <p>Otherwise, the author demonstrated an INM with reference to farmers practices and report the findings briefly. The literature used need to be discussed.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zenebe Mekonnen Adare
Department, University & Country	Arba Minch University, Ethiopia