

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJGH_116491
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Sub-Chronic Consumption of Palm Oil-Tainted Feed on Hepatorenal Parameters in Wistar Rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community as it investigates the effects of sub-chronic consumption of palm oil-tainted feed on hepatorenal parameters in Wistar rats. Given the widespread use of palm oil in diets worldwide and the ongoing debate about its health effects, Thus, the manuscript holds significance for the scientific community.</p> <p>2. The title "Effect of Sub-Chronic Consumption of Palm Oil-Tainted Feed on Hepatorenal Parameters in Wistar Rats" accurately reflects the content of the study, However, an alternative title could be "Impact of Palm Oil-Tainted Feed on Liver and Kidney Health in Wistar Rats: A Sub-Chronic Study".</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract provides a concise summary of the study objectives, methods, results, and conclusions. It effectively outlines the experimental design and key findings, making it comprehensive for readers seeking a quick overview of the research.</p> <p>4. The manuscript's subsections and structure are well-organized, with clear delineation of the introduction, materials and methods, results, discussion, and conclusion sections.</p> <p>5. Yes, the manuscript appears scientifically sound, with a well-designed experimental protocol and meticulous data analysis. The results are presented clearly, and the discussion provides insightful interpretations of the findings in the context of existing literature. However, further validation through additional studies or replication of results may strengthen the scientific robustness of the findings.</p> <p>6. If you have a suggestion of additional references, please mention them in the review form. The references provided are relevant and cover a broad range of literature related to palm oil consumption, liver function, and biochemical parameters. However, some additional recent references could be included to further support the discussion and provide a comprehensive overview of the topic.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the language and English quality of the article are suitable for scholarly communications but still need some minor grammar corrections.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sakina Ruhi
Department, University & Country	Managements and Science University, Malaysia