

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_116680
Title of the Manuscript:	Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy in Acute Cholecystitis: An Update-Narrative Review
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, because it is important to standardise the timing for surgery for acute cholecystitis.</p> <p>It is suitable</p> <p>The abstract lays stress on timing of surgery. However, the title and the main text discussed many other topics as well. The abstract should be remodelled to be in sync with rest of the manuscript.</p> <p>Yes. Few tables might improve upon the manuscript.</p> <p>It needs few revisions.</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>COMMENTS</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. While advocating LC (laparoscopic cholecystectomy) within 72 hours, the studies mentioned must include the same time frame. Although few of the studies cited here have used a different time frame for defining "Early" LC. eg- Reference no. 22- 7 days, reference no. 23- 24 hours, reference no. 34- 7 days, reference no. 37- 30 days. 2. While discussing about elderly patients, authors need to define their cutoff age for elderly and give adequate references for the same. 3. In reference no. 33, how is cholecystectomy deemed safe and feasible at such high morbidity (24%) and mortality (3.5%) rates. 4. The authors in reference no. 36 have analysed LC vs OC separately. However to advocate LC in elderly (which seems to be the question of discussion here), we need to see conversion rates from LC to OC. This is actually a limitation of their study. 5. The studies (reference no. 44 and 45) have concluded that acute cholecystitis has a higher chance of conversion. However, in the light of the current study, we have to look into the factors present in patients with acute cholecystitis which increase the chance of conversion. These studies have not evaluated it. However, reference no. 45 can be mentioned to advocate cholecystectomy within 72hours as it has been implicated as a factor which increases the chances of conversion. 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manas Aggarwal
Department, University & Country	Chandan Hospital, India