

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_116117
Title of the Manuscript:	Novel mathematical models for prediction of spent engine oil interactions with Vernonia amygdalina leaf extract
Type of the Article	Research article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The article belongs to the scientific community and is good enough. 2. Suggested to alter the title 3. In the abstract section , some equations were not good to see. Pl change 4. The subsections are clear. 5. Yes, it is clear and scientifically correct. 6. Yes, they are sufficient 7. The line spacing should be uniform 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>I suggest to check the manuscript for English grammar and vocabulary.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In abstract, some notations are mentioned, pl avoid the notations or equations in abstract ❖ Type the equation $r = -4.4S / (79.2+S)$ in abstract, otherwise remove it 2. Keywords are kept in brackets, why 3. Did the author receive or published any article related to this leaf extractions in previous , if so pl mention the citation. 4. Otherwise suggested to add the details of leaf extraction in brief. 5. Figure 2 should be in proper form with a sequential numbering like 2(a) , 2(b) 6. Cite properly all the equations, from which they are taken, whether they are from standard textbook or from somewhere else. 7. In section 3.3, third line it should be typo error for r_2 , change to r^2 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yakkaluri Pratapa Reddy
Department, University & Country	Kkr & Ksr Institute of Technology and Sciences, India