

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JESBS_105180</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>ORGANIZATIONAL CULTURE ASSESSMENT AND TEAM EFFECTIVENESS: A CASE STUDY OF GHANA EDUCATIONAL SERVICE (G.E.S), THE ASHANTI REGIONAL OFFICE KUMASI.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Assignment / Project for partial fulfilment of MA Degree</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. No</p> <p>2. Yes, title is found OK.</p> <p>3. No, abstract of the article is not comprehensive. It should be reframed.</p> <p>4. No, Subsections and structure are not appropriate, revision required.</p> <p>5. No, the article was not scientifically corrected.</p> <p>6. No, reference are not recent and not even sufficient. There is the redundancy of data in references. There are few references which were used in text but not properly write in the reference section.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. Yes, language of the article is suitable.</p> <p>2. Keywords are too many. It should be 5 or 6 six in numbers.</p> <p>3. Introduction part is not well drafted; it should be rewrite.</p> <p>4. Table 4 and Table 5 are not mentioned in the text.</p> <p>5. In text, author/s discussed about Appendix – B, but that Appendix not clearly explain in the article.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Priya</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Institute of Management Sciences, University of Lucknow, India</b>