

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_105174
Title of the Manuscript:	STUDENT TEACHERS' ATTITUDES TOWARDS THE TEACHING PROFESSION: A CASE STUDY OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CAPE COAST
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Manuscript important for scientific community.</p> <p>2. The title of the article suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract of adding on keywords.</p> <p>4. Improvement in the methodology by conciseness and clarity. Presentation of research results by describing the findings of the study through data analysis based on the research objectives. By pointing out. How the research results have achieved the objectives? And organized and easy-to-understand presentation of evidence. Improvement in the discussion by explanation and extension of research findings accordant to objectives, and the findings are consistent with past research findings to support in the results as well as citing available sources of the documents. Conclusion in research results based on conciseness, clarity, and coverage. It is a summary that does not overlap with the research results, but summarizes the issues and the essence in accordance with the objectives.</p> <p>5. Manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. References sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Reviewing the misuse of language.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> yes	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pornchai Jedaman
Department, University & Country	Rajabath Mahasarakham University, Thailand