

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_116418
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Intervention on knowledge of rural school going adolescent girls about pubertal changes
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>This is important as it address a critical transition period among adolescent girls having a developmental experience. Considering the cultural beliefs in African region where such is not routinely discussed, this can impact negatively on the performance of adolescent school girls</p> <p>It should be refined to capture the location (check line 4)</p> <p>Some aspects need to be improved upon. No introduction/background, Aim should be modified (check line 10) There is no need for study design standing alone. It should be incorporated into methodology (Line 12) methodology should start with the study type and study information (population, sites, duration). Line 14 Were the participants interviewed or questionnaires were administered? Place of study should be incorporated into methodology</p> <p>Result needs to be restructured. Is this a quantitative or qualitative study? Numbers should be displayed if quantitative.</p> <p>Conclusion: Refer to line 33</p> <p>Key words: add country, school</p> <p>Introduction: line 54- adolescent girls Line 61- common Line 62-63 Line 66: discussed Line 73: stage Line 80: school</p> <p>Methodology How was the question administered and in what language? Were the questionnaires prepared the same for all the age groups? Was it the same questionnaires for both pre-test and post-test? Line 83: I still think this is an observational study. You may need to justify the experimental approach What defines the 2 groups in this study (control and experimental)? Line 92: What is the difference between secondary and senior secondary schools? You may need to further explain the respective block for better understanding. What informed your choice of school? Line 95: you need to explain how the consent was obtained Line 101: contracted separately by who?</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>Results Line 118: separate results from discussion Tables should be presented in standard format (3 line table format) Table 1: absolute numbers should be presented alongside the percentages (see example in Table 1) Ensure abbreviations are defined as footnotes Table 2: Experimental and control appears to be more technical than this study because no intervention was done except for the information dissemination The percentages are not adding up. Line 140: result session is meant for just presentation and not explanation. Move this to discussion session Line 142-151 should be moved to discussion session Were the respondents asked in their local language? Table 3 need further explanation. Abbreviation should be explained, What were they responding to? Table 3 should be presented while explanation should be moved to discussion session Line 173, table 4 should be standardized, all abbreviations explained. Explain why respondents are more than 120 Line 111-181 should be in the discussion session. However, is there any comparative literature to your findings? Table 6: Maturity of organ is not internal changes but external Table 7: What is rural referring to in the heading of the table? What is the relationship of the table to the research.? I think this should be removed. Line 269: Can the title of the table be refined (rural and tribal?) This table should be incorporated into the table the mean data were gotten from.</p> <p>Conclusion Line 290-297 should be moved to discussion section</p> <p>Not entirely. Results and discussions were addressed together</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript need to be re-arranged, tables should be reformatted and reduced to 3-5. Results and discussion should be separated. Discussion should be elaborate and referenced.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mobereade Ayokanmi Joseph
Department, University & Country	Nigeria