

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_115809
Title of the Manuscript:	MORPHOLOGICAL AND CULTURAL CHARACTERIZATION OF Sclerotium rolfsii Sacc. ON CHICKPEA AND ITS MANAGEMENT USING NOVEL FUNGICIDE MOLECULES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>Yes. Please replace the word "novel" in the title with 'combined'.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No. the discussion is not detailed. The discussion should clearly explain the inhibitory effects of the different concentrations of combi fungicides. The conclusion is too wordy; reduce it to capture the achieved aim of study, and possibly the way forward for further studies.</p> <p>Is ok</p> <p>References are adequate for the manuscript, but most the references cited in the work are too old.</p> <p>I think to check for variability (morphological and cultural) the use of more media would have made the work robust. The experimental set up should have been with controls.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Igiehon Evans
Department, University & Country	University of Benin, Nigeria