

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_116206
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy of different fungicides and plant bio-Extracts against Alternaria alternata causing Alternaria leaf spot of brinjal under in-vitro condition
Type of the Article	Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes. The data of this research study would be helpful for a sustainable agriculture.</p> <p>Yes; minor modification is suggested.</p> <p>Yes. Some parts need rephrasing.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Suggested to add 5-7 references of recent years (&lt;5 years)</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Poor. Text presentation in most part of the manuscript is scientifically unacceptable. Needs rephrasing for better understanding of the research work. The manuscript should be subjected to English language review for improvement of the scientific presentation before acceptance for publication.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Research work is satisfactory; manuscript should be rewritten for improving the quality of scientific presentation.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Thiyagarajan Santhanamari</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Northern Border University, Saudi Arabia</b>