

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_116185
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of Uropathogens and Associated Risk Factors in Urinary Tract Infection in Pregnant Women Attending Antenatal Clinic in a Teaching Hospital in Awka, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>New Knowledge on UTIs in Pregnancy: UTIs are a common health concern in pregnant women, and understanding the prevalence of uropathogens and associated risk factors can help in developing better preventive and treatment strategies. This manuscript contributes to that knowledge base.</p> <p>Comparison and Contrast: By comparing findings with other studies, the manuscript can identify areas of consistency and inconsistency. This can lead to new research questions and a more comprehensive understanding of UTIs in pregnancy across different populations.</p> <p>Informs Clinical Practice: The findings on risk factors and prevalent uropathogens can inform clinical practice by guiding decisions about screening and antibiotic selection for UTIs in pregnant women.</p> <p>Suitable title Abstract; comprehensive Appropriate sub sections</p> <p>Scientifically correct Uptodate references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Good quality english</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Here are some additional points to consider:</p> <p>Critical evaluation of methodology: The appraisal could be strengthened by a more critical evaluation of the methodology. For example, it could discuss the potential limitations of a cross-sectional study design for determining causal relationships between risk factors and UTI.</p> <p>Clinical significance: The appraisal could emphasize the clinical significance of the findings. For instance, it could discuss the potential consequences of UTIs during pregnancy for both the mother and the fetus.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Khalid Farooq
Department, University & Country	MTI Lady Reading Hospital, Pakistan