

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_116185
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of Uropathogens and Associated Risk Factors in Urinary Tract Infection in Pregnant Women Attending Antenatal Clinic in a Teaching Hospital in Awka, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>One of the socially and clinically relevant matter is explored in this study. UTI in pregnancy is a great public health concern as the outcomes are critical. The current study covered the microbiological aspects but recommendation was not mentioned.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Abstract needs to be improved. Prevalence of UTI among pregnant women and its associated risk should be emphasized.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, needs some sort of improvement.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>In the result part, it was written Gram positive, Gram negative bacteria including Candida species were identified (5th sentence). It has to be corrected as both Gram positive and Gram negative bacteria, yeast such as candida species were isolated and identified.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Good	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Language should be more scientific. In the conclusion part, strong recommendation should be added. If a consent is obtained from the subjects during study, should be mentioned clearly.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Deepthi T
Department, University & Country	Sree Gokulam Medical College and Research Foundation, Kerala University of Health Science, India