

Effect of Bio-priming with Isolates of Fungal Bio Agents on Morphological Attributes and Growth Parameters of Chickpea

Abstract:

Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) is one of the most important pulse crops of India. Chickpea is one of the major and abundant sources of protein among pulses; production of chickpea is very low all over the worldwide due to several biotic and abiotic stresses. Biotic stress diseases are the major problems. Chickpea wilt caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceri* has been considered as devastating one to cause up to 10 per cent loss in yield every year. For eco-friendly and sustainable management of the disease, six isolates of two fungal bioagents *Trichoderma harzianum* and *Trichoderma viride* were evaluated for their antagonistic efficacy on colony growth by dual culture plate method. The results showed that the bio-agents suppressed the colony growth of pathogen which ranged between 70.80-59.29%. Isolates of T1 [*Trichoderma harzianum* (KN)] 70.80% was found very effective antagonistic effect compare to all treatments and control followed by T2 [*Trichoderma harzianum* (VN)] which inhibited 68.80%, T3 [*Trichoderma harzianum* (UNN)] 66.45% and T4 [*T. viride* (FBD)] 63.58% mycelia growth of pathogen and so on. The result of seed treatment *in vivo* condition showed that T1 found highest germination percent among all the treatments with a value of 85.71%. In all the treatment, substantially boosting the morphology of chickpea against control, the maximum number of branches was recorded in T1, with value of 12 and maximum root length was 13.33 cm with increase 81.85% over the control. In the yield parameter, the highest number of pods was found in T1 with 41 pods, followed by T2 and T3 with 37 and 36 pods, respectively. Result of the study show that bio-agents significantly reduced the wilt incidence, treated plants subsequently significantly increased germination percentage, morphological parameters and yield parameters over the non-treated plants.

Keywords: Chickpea, Fungal bio-agent, inhibition, *Fusarium*, mycelia growth.

Introduction

“Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.), $2n=2x=14$, which is a member of the *Papilionaceae* subfamily of the *Leguminoceae* family. Bengal gram or king of pulse crop is another name of chickpea. It is one of the main pulse crops grown during the *Rabi* season in India. Pulses continue to play a significant role in human diets, particularly among the vast majority of vegetarians in the nation. India, Pakistan, Turkey, Iran, Myanmar, Ethiopia, Mexico, Australia, Syria, Spain, Canada, United States, Bangladesh, Algeria, Malawi, Sudan and Portugal are among the major producers of chickpeas in the world. The primary origins of the chickpea are in South-West Asia and the Mediterranean region with Ethiopia serving as the secondary origin. It is a significant pulse crop in the tropical, subtropical and temperate parts of the world” (Yadav *et al.*, 2023). “The main producers of chick pea are India, Australia and Pakistan, contributing 67.32%, 6.19% and 5.72% respectively to global production. Agricultural Organization's (FAO) current global production will be at 16 million metric tonnes in 2021. India is the world's top producer, contributing to about 75% of global output. India is also the world's biggest consumer of chickpeas. As a result, despite accounting for over 75% of global production, the nation came in second. In India, chickpeas make up around 49% of all the pulses that are produced. Major chick pea growing states are Rajasthan, Maharashtra, Uttar Pradesh and Andhra Pradesh contributes about 17%,

16%, 6% and 5% of the total production, respectively”(FAO, 2021). Chickpea now a day affected by various abiotic and biotic stresses. The several biotic and abiotic constraints responsible for low productivity of chickpea, diseases are the most serious constraints causing up to 100 per cent losses of this crop.

“Among the fungal disease Fusarium wilt of chick pea caused by *Fusarium oxysporium* f. sp. *ciceri* causes more damage. Chickpea crop more vulnerable during the flowering and pod forming stage, if the crop is subjected to sudden temperature rise and water stress the wilt incidence level also fluctuate with weather parameter so we can say wilt incidence directly correlated with weather parameters” (Chaudhry *et al.*, 2007). “Fusarium wilt results in major economic losses ranging from 10-40% worldwide” (Nene *et al.*, 1984). It causes 100% loss under specific conditions (Jalali and Chand, 1992) and at particular growth stages of crop like vegetative and reproductive (Halila and Strange, 1996). “The disease is most devastated, widespread and important throughout the world” (Sontakke *et al.*, 2020). “In India, it is estimated that 10% yield losses were caused annually under certain conditions it may go up to 60%. The incidence was varied from 14 to 32 per cent in different states” as reported by Dubey *et al.* (2010).

“A successful evaluation of disease is always followed by management strategies to combat the disease. Management of Fusarium wilt of chickpea is difficult to achieve and no single control measure is sufficiently effective, it is because basically they are soil borne in nature. The best way of management of Fusarium wilt through applying cultural and chemical method but, chemical management strategies cause hazardous effect on human and animal health and environment. So, several researchers searching new eco-friendly non-hazardous ideas for the management of Fusarium wilt of chick pea. In this respect a novel comprehensive sustainable approach for disease management is appreciable” (Zeyadet *et al.*, 2022). The use of bioagent found to be an effective measure to manage wilt disease of chickpea. Fungal bio-agents, such as non-pathogenic and non-host *Fusarium* species, have been used successfully and resulted in a significant reduction in both pathogenic fungal growth *in vitro* and disease development in the field. Seed bio priming is a technique where seeds are treated with beneficial microorganisms to enhance their germination and growth. To manage wilt through seed bio priming, seeds are coated with fungal antagonist that suppresses the growth of the wilt pathogen. The aim of this research to managing wilt through seed bio priming is to enhance the resistance of seeds and seedlings to wilt causing pathogens, ultimately leading to healthier plants and increased crop yields. This approach can help minimize the incidence and severity of wilt disease, thereby improving crop productivity and sustainability.

Materials and Methods

Collection of diseased material

The specimens were obtained from the Student Instruction Farm of C.S.A. University of Agriculture & Technology Kanpur. From the field the infected chickpea plant that are exhibiting wilting like symptoms followed by yellowing were gathered and delivered to the lab for initial analysis. For additional investigation, the specimen and diseased sample were placed between the fold of sterilised blotting paper and kept in a refrigerator at 4-6°C. The entire specimen was gathered and tested for the presence of the causative organism and virulence in the lab.

Isolation and purification of the pathogen

Isolation of the fungus was done from the part of the plant showing initial wilt symptoms [Gurhaet *al.* 2002 and Kaushalet *al.* 1990]. The affected roots were first washed in tap water to remove dust particles. The affected pieces of root surface were sterilized in 0.1 percent aqueous solution of mercuric chloride for oneminute and subsequently washed thoroughly 3-4 times with distilledwater. Excess water was removed by putting the pieces in between thefolds of sterilized blotting papers. These pieces were inoculated on PDAplates kept in incubator at 25⁰ – 27⁰C for 24 hours.Single spore isolation technique was done for the purification ofthe culture and the culture thus obtained was maintained on PDA (PotatoDextrose Agar) medium slants for further studies.

Identification of pathogen

Identification of the pathogen was made by comparing the cultural and morphological characters of the fungus with that of described by C. Booth [Booth 1971] for *Fusariumoxysporumf. sp. ciceri*following growth habit, cultural and morphological characters.

Pathogenicity Test

Pathogenicity test of *Fusariumoxysporum f. sp. ciceris*were carried out in plastic pots. Plastic pots filled with double sterilized soil. The inoculums of *Fusariumoxysporumf. sp. ciceris*was multiplied on sterilized sorghum seeds and added to each pot. The inoculums were thoroughly mixed in soil. Similarly 2 pots with sterilized soil without inoculums were used as control. Seeds of chickpea susceptible variety (JG- 62) weresown in each pot.

Laboratory screening of antagonists against the test pathogen

Two bioagents viz. *Trichodermaviride*and *Trichodermaharzianum*were assessed for comparative efficacy against *Fusariumoxysporumf.sp. ciceri*by using dual culture plate technique [Morton and stroube 1955]. Five mm disc oftest fungus and the antagonistic fungi, cut from the edge of five days oldculture were used for inoculation. Test fungus was inoculated before72 hour of bioagent inoculation, on potato dextrose agar mediumPetriplates. The test fungus and bioagentswere inoculated opposite toeach other at a distance of 5 mm from the periphery of the Petriplate.Control without bioagent was prepared for each treatment. Threereplicates of each treatment were made. All treatments were incubatedat 25 ±1⁰C; the data were recorded after inoculation when the inhibition zone was formed, it was expressed aspercent inhibition.

$$\% \text{ inhibition} = \frac{C-T}{C} \times 100$$

Whereas,

C= Mycelial growth in control plates, T= Mycelial growth in Treatment plates

Seed priming with different bio agents

The surface of chickpea seeds of the cultivar "JG 62" was sterilized for five minutes with 1% sodium hypochlorite, followed by three rinses with sterilized distilled water and air drying. Seeds were primed by the powder of various strain of *Trichoderma* spp. within the different Petri plates. Just after priming seeds were sown on the next day early morning in a well pulverized plotand kept in the wire house. Three rowswere sown for each treatment in a block. Regular observations were taken at 10 days interval from 30 days after sowing.Numbers of plant

were counted row wise and fixed for further studies. Number of plants dead was also counted at 20 days interval. After five regular observations, number of plants survived was counted and observations were made for calculating the effectiveness of bioagent in checking the attack of *Fusarium oxysporum* sp. *cicerion* chickpea. The untreated seeds served as control. The completely randomized design (CRD) was kept for the experimental setting. Table list contains the information about the isolates and further treatments that have been used in the study.

List 1 :Treatment detail

S.N.	Treatment	Treatment detail (isolates with place)
1	T ₁	Seed primed with <i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> (KN)
2	T ₂	Seed primed with <i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> (VAN)
3	T ₃	Seed primed with <i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> (UNN)
4	T ₄	Seed primed with <i>Trichoderma viride</i> (FBD)
5	T ₅	Seed primed with <i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> (KD)
6	T ₆	Seed primed with <i>Trichoderma viride</i> (BDA)
7	T ₇ (control)	Untreated control

KN = Kanpur Nagar, VAN= Varanasi, UNN= Unnao, FBD= Farrukhabad, KD=Kanpur Dehat and BDA= Banda

Observation recorded

To record observations of several morpho-physiological characteristics of the plant 20-35 days after sowing (DAS), sampling was carried out at random. Three plants were randomly chosen from each three replication of a treatment, and information was gathered on a variety of attributes.

Germination percentage: Ten days after sowing (DAS), the number of seeds that germinated in each treatment was counted and recorded. Germination percent calculated by given formula:

$$\text{Germination (\%)} = \frac{\text{Total number of seed germinated in particular treatment}}{\text{Total number of seed treated in particular treatment}} \times 100$$

Shoot and root length (cm)

After stretching the plant with the aid of a metre scale, the shoot and root length was calculated in centimetres (cm) from the plant's base (ground level) to the end of its main axis. Data was taken 40 days after transplanting (DAT).

Disease incidence

Disease incidence was calculated by using the following formula.

$$\text{Disease incidence} = \frac{\text{Number of diseased plants}}{\text{Total number of plants}} \times 100$$

Statistical analysis

Complete randomization design (CRD) was used in this experiment. It was use the analysis of variance technique to draw conclusions from the data. The estimated value of F will be compared to the probability value that has been tabulated for the appropriate degree of freedom (Fisher and Yates, 1968).

Results and Discussion

Isolation of pathogen

The isolation of pathogen was made on potato dextrose agar medium from infected chickpea plants which showed typical symptoms of wilt. The pathogen (*Fusariumoxysporumf. sp. ciceri*) was found to be associated with the infected roots of the chickpea plant samples collected from the field. The pathogenic culture of *F. oxysporumf. sp. ciceri* produced white colour mycelium with fluffy growth and smooth margin, yellow to dusky red (pink) colour pigmentation was observed on third day after isolation on PDA. On the basis of morphological characters, the pathogen was identified as *F. oxysporumf. sp. ciceri*. Pure culture was obtained by sub-culturing on PDA slants by hyphal tip method and incubated at $25 \pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$ temperature in BOD incubator for a week and then stored at 4°C temperature in refrigerator and the culture was maintained on PDA slants for further studies.



Plate: 1. Isolation and Purification Process A-Collection of disease plant sample, B-Isolation of fungus in aseptic condition of Laminar Air Flow and C-Pure culture of pathogen

Evaluation of different isolates of *Trichoderma* against *Fusariumoxysporumf. sp.ciceri* through dual culture technique

Trichoderma species were evaluated through dual culture technique for their antagonistic potential against *Fusariumoxysporumf. sp. ciceri*(*Foc*) under *in vitro* conditions by adopting dual culture technique. The results are interpreted in terms of per cent inhibition over the fungal growth of control and presented in Table 1. Among the six isolates of *Trichoderma* spp., T₁ [*T. harzianum*(KN)] showed maximum inhibition (70.80%) in the mycelial growth of pathogen followed by T₂ [*T. harzianum*(VAN)] which inhibited 68.80% mycelial growth of pathogen. The next effective antagonist was T₃ [*T. harzianum*(UNN)], which inhibited 66.45% mycelial growth of pathogen followed by T₄ [*T. viride*(FBD)63.58%] and T₆ [*T. viride*(BDA)](59.29%) in order to superiority.

Table.1: Evaluation of different isolates of *Trichoderma* against *Fusariumoxysporumf. sp.ciceri*

Sl. No.	Treatment details	Radial growth of <i>Foc</i> (mm)	Percent inhibition
1.	T ₁ [<i>T. harzianum</i> (KN)]	19.20	70.80
2.	T ₂ [<i>T. harzianum</i> (VAN)]	21.10	68.80
3.	T ₃ [<i>T. harzianum</i> (UNN)]	23.55	66.45
4.	T ₄ [<i>T. viride</i> (FBD)]	26.42	63.58
5.	T ₅ [<i>T. harzianum</i> (KD)]	36.25	53.75
6.	T ₆ [<i>T. viride</i> (BDA)]	30.71	59.29
7.	T ₇ [Control]	90	
C.D.			4.54




Plate: 2. Antagonistic effect of *Trichoderma* Spp. against pathogen= T₁ [*T. harzianum*(KN)], B= T₂[*T. harzianum*(VAN)] and C= *Fusariumoxysporum*f. sp. *ciceri*

Effect of seed bio-priming with different isolates of *Trichodermaspp.* on seed germination and disease incidence of chickpea under wire house condition

The data summarized in the Table-2 demonstrated that every treatment was capable of substantially boosting the germination percentage of chickpea seed over control. The treatment T₁ which was treated with *Trichoderma harzianum* (KN), seeds were showed that the highest germination percent among all the treatments, with a value of 85.71%, followed by T₂ and T₃ representing 76.19% and 76.19% seed germination respectively. Lowest germination % was recorded from control about 47.61% only in T₇ so, all treated pots significantly increased germination % over control. The highest incidence was recorded from untreated (T₇) pot about 52.38 per cent. While, minimum disease incidence was recorded from T₁ which treated by *T. harzianum* (KN) with the value about 14.28 per cent, Second best result observed from T₂ which, treated with *T. harzianum* (VAN) with the value of 19.04 per cent.

Table 2: Effect of seed bio-priming with different isolates of *Trichodermaspp.* on seed germination and disease incidence of chickpea

Treatment details			Total No. of plants	No. of diseased plants	Germination (%)	Disease incidence (%)
Sl. No	Treatment	Bioagents with location				
1.	T ₁	<i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> (KN)	21	3	85.71	14.28
2.	T ₂	<i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> (VAN)	21	4	76.19	19.04
3.	T ₃	<i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> (UNN)	21	6	76.19	

						28.57
4.	T ₄	<i>Trichodermaviride</i> (FBD)	21	7	71.42	33.33
5.	T ₅	<i>Trichodermaharzianum</i> (KD)	21	8	66.66	38.09
6.	T ₆	<i>Trichodermaviride</i> (BDA)	21	8	57.14	38.09
7.	T ₇	Control	21	11	47.61	52.38
C.D.					3.268	1.648




Plate-3: Effects of seed bio-priming on growth parameters of chickpea A= T₁*Trichoderma harzianum* (KN), B=T₂*Trichoderma harzianum*(VAN), C=T₃*Trichoderma harzianum*(UNN), D=T₄*Trichoderma viride*(FBD), E=T₅*Trichoderma harzianum*(KD), E=T₆*Trichoderma harzianum*(BDA) and F=T₇ Untreated Control

Effect of seed bio-priming with different bioagent on morphological attributes of chickpea under wire house condition

The data represented in Table-3, showed that, every treatment was capable of substantially boosting the numbers of chickpea branches against control. In all the treatment, the maximum number of branches were reported from T₁ with value of 12, followed by T₂ and T₃ representing 10 and 10, respectively. The others remain of the treatments were also able to increase number of branches over control. All treated plots recorded higher root length over non treated plants. The maximum root length was recorded from T₁ treatment with the value of 13.33 cm with increase 81.85% over control. The treatment T₂ showed second best result about 12.0 cm with 63.71%

increased over control. From the table it is cleared that seed treated with different isolates of *Trichodermaspp.* increased morphological root length against over control. Every treatment was capable to boosting of the chickpea shoot length over control. Among all the treatments, the maximum numbers of branches were observed from T₁ which treated with *Trichodermaharzianum*(KN) value of 37 cm with increase 94.73% over control. The treatment T₂ showed second best resulted value of 35 cm with 84.21% increased over control.

Table-3: Effect of seed bio-priming on morphological attributes of chickpea

Treatment details	No. of branches/plants	Root length (cm)	Per cent increase over control (Root length)	Shoot length (cm)	Per cent increase over control (Shoot length)
T ₁ [<i>T. harzianum</i> (KN)]	12	13.33	81.85	37	94.73
T ₂ [<i>T. harzianum</i> (VAN)]	10	12.00	63.71	35	84.21
T ₃ [<i>T. harzianum</i> (UNN)]	10	11.00	50.06	33	73.68
T ₄ [<i>T. viride</i> (FBD)]	8.3	9.00	22.78	30	57.89
T ₅ [<i>T. harzianum</i> (KD)]	8.0	9.00	22.78	29	52.63
T ₆ [<i>T. viride</i> (BDA)]	8	8.67	18.28	24	26.31
T ₇ [Control]	7	7.33		19	
C.D.	0.418			1.269	



A=Untreated Control, B=T₁*Trichoderma harzianum*(KN), C= All Treatments

Plate 4 :Control and treatment efficacy results




Fig: 1. Effects of seed bio-priming on growth parameters of chickpea

Effect of seed bio-priming on yield attributes in chickpea under wire house condition.

The data presented in Table-4 founded that, all treatments were substantially increasing the chickpea yields over control. Among all the treatments, the maximum number of pods 41 was observed from T₁ which increased 50% over control with 25.5 gm seed index. The treatment T₂ was showed second best result as 23.25 gm with increased of 36.76% with 23.25 gm seed index, while non treated plant, harvested the number of pod/plant of 22 pod/plant. It may be concluded from the table that all treated plant harvests morefield than non treated plant.

Table-4 Effect of seed bio-priming with different isolates of *Trichoderma spp.* on yield attributes in chickpea under wire house condition.

Treatment details	Yield attributes		
	No. of pods/plant	Seed index (gm) (Weight of 100 seeds)	% increase over control
T ₁	41	25.5	50.00
T ₂	37	23.25	36.76
T ₃	36	22.75	29.41

T ₄	35	22.05	29.70
T ₅	33	21.50	26.47
T ₆	31	20.03	17.82
T ₇ (Control)	22	17.00	
C.D.	1.481	0.627	3.328




Fig: 2. Effects of seed bio-priming on yield attributes in chickpea

Conclusion

In vivo results demonstrated that *Trichoderma* isolates inhibited pathogen mycelial growth significantly. *T. harzianum* (KN) was the most effective, with 70.80% inhibition, followed by *T. harzianum* (VAN) at 68.80%. *T. harzianum* (UNN), *T. viride* (FBD), and *T. viride* (BDA) also showed inhibition. Treatments enhanced Chickpea seedling germination percentage significantly compared to the control group. T₁ with *Trichoderma harzianum* (KN) had the highest germination percentage at 75%, followed by *T. harzianum* (VAN) and *T. harzianum* (UNN) at 76.19%. Control had the lowest germination at 47.61%, indicating a positive impact of all treatments. The highest disease incidence, 52.38%, was in untreated pots. The lowest, 14.28%, was in T₁ treated with *T. harzianum* (KN), followed by T₂ treated with *T. harzianum* (VAN) at 19.04%. Maximum branches were in T₁ *T. harzianum* KN-treated pots (12), followed by T₂ and T₃ (both 10). KN-treated plants had the most branches (37 cm, 94.73% increase), followed by VAN (35 cm, 84.21%) and UNN (33 cm, 73.68%). T₂ had 35 cm (84.21% increase), while untreated had 19 cm. All treatments increased root length; the highest was T₁ (13.33 cm,

81.85%), then T₂ (12.0 cm, 63.71%). Trichoderma-treated seeds increased root length. T₁ had 41 pods/plant (50% increase, 25.5 gm seed index), T₂ had 37 pods/plant (36.76% increase, 23.25 gm), and untreated had 22 pods/plant. Overall, treated plants yielded more than untreated plant.

References

- Booth C (1971)**The genus *Fusarium*. Common Wealth Mycological Institute, Kew, Surrey, England, 237.
- Chaturvedi, S. K. (2002)** Isolation of stable resistance in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) breeding lines against race 2 of *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceri*. *Progressive Agriculture*, **2**(1): 90-91.
- Choudhary, R. K. and Naimuddin, R. G. (1996)** Comparative efficacy of biocontrol agents and fungicides for controlling chickpea wilt caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceri*. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, **66**: 370-373.
- Dubey, S. C., Singh, V., Priyanka, K., Upadhyay, B. K. and Singh, B. (2015)** Combined application of fungal and bacterial bio-agents, together with fungicide and *Mesorhizobium* for integrated management of Fusarium wilt of chickpea. *BioControl*, **60**: 413-424.
- Gurha, S. N., Narain, U. and Vishwadhar, R. A. (2002)** Advances in the management of chickpea wilt. In: Prasad D, Pun SN (eds.) Crop Pests and Disease Management.) Jyoti Publishers, New Delhi, 204.
- Haware, M. P. and Nene, Y. L. (1980)** Influence of wilt at different stages on the yield loss chickpea, *Tropical Grain Legume Bull.* **19**: 38-44.
- Kaushal, R. P. and Singh, B. M. (1990)** Pot screening of chickpea germplasm against wilt. *International Chickpea Newsletter* 23: 21.
- Keote, G. A., Reddy, M. S. P., Kumar, A. and Wasnikar, A. R. (2019)**. Bio-inoculants against chick pea wilt incited by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceri*, *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, **8**(4): 1590-1594.
- Kumar, V., Shahid, M., Srivastava, M., Singh, A., Pandey, S. and Sharma, A. (2014)**. Enhancing seed germination and vigor of chickpea by using potential and effective strains of *Trichoderma* species. *Virology & Mycology*, **3**(128): 2161-0517.
- Morton, D. J. and Strouvie, W. H. (1955)** Antagonistic stimulatory effect of soil microorganisms upon *Sclerotinia rolfsii*. *Phytopathology* **45**: 417-420.
- Mukhopadhyaya, A. N. (1994)** Bio-control of soil borne fungal plant pathogens: Current status, future prospects and potential limitations. *Indian Phytopath* **47**: 199-126.

- Mukhopadhyaya, A. N., Shrestha, S. M. and Mukherjee, P. K. (1992)** Biological seedtreatment for control of soil borne plant pathogens. F.A.O. *Plant Prot. Bull***40**:21-30
- Nene, Y. L. and Reddy, M. V. (1987)** Chickpea diseases and their control, Wallingford, U.K. CAB International., 233-270.
- Padwick, G. W. (1940)** The genus *Fusarium* III A critical study of the fungus causing wilt of Gram (*Cicer arietinum* L.) and of the related species of the subsection Orthocera, with special relation to the variability of key characteristics. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Science*, **10**(3): 241-244.
- Rehman, S. U., Dar, W. A., Ganie, S. A., Bhat, A. J. and Mir, H. G. (2013)** Comparative efficacy of *Trichoderma viride* and *Trichoderma harzianum* against *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceris* causing wilt of chickpea. *African Journal of Microbiology Research***7**: 5731-5736.
- Sattar, A., Arif, A. G. and Mohyuddin, M. (1953)** Effect of soil temperature and moisture and the incidence of gram wilt. *Pakistan Journal of Scientific Research*. **5**: 16-21.
- Shahid, M., Singh, A., Srivastava, M., Sachan, C. P. and Biswas, S. K. (2011)** Effect of seed treatment on germination and vigour in chickpea. *Trends in Biosciences*, **4**(2): 205-207.
- Singh, A., Shahid, M., Sachan, C. P., Srivastava, M. and Biswas, S. K. (2013)** Effect of seed treatment on germination and vigour Lentil. *Journal of Plant Disease Sciences*, **8**(1): 124-125.
- Snyder, W. C. and Hansen, H. N. (1940)** The species concept in *Fusarium*. *American Journal of Botany*, **27**: 64-67.