

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_INDJ_116121</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Comments on Freud and the concept of the mind and unconscious at the time of the fall of positivism</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Opinion Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p><b>Yes, it is a nice comment on the non-positivist nature of psychoanalysis, over and above links to neuroscience.</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>No; some sub-sections would help with the organization</b></p> <p><b>There are some incorrect or unclear points (I have highlighted them in my comments on the document)</b></p> <p><b>Some references are suggested in the comments (to authors, not specific articles)</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, although there are some lines that are more rhetorical (which is fine) but they lack a simultaneous clear indication of what is actually being referred to (e.g., the "devil" comment).</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>John Dall'Aglio</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Duquesne University, United States</b>