

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_115051</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Sulphur on Yield, Quality and Nutrient uptake of Black Sesame [Sesamum radiatum (L.)]</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>The study misses a number of basic scientific steps to be said important for the scientific community.</b></p> <p><b>It is better if the title is modified as "Effect of NPS or Mineral fertilize" than Effect of Nitrogen, Phosphorus, and Sulphur.</b></p> <p>As it stands it is not self-explanatory and sound (there is no single statement which describes why they are motivated to conduct the study and basic scientific study procedure are missed, simply I can say the methodology is not addressed scientifically (should be re written))</p> <p>The manuscripts have huge clarity issues needs to be seriously revised if possible.</p> <p>The number of references used in this manuscript is below the minimum, it shows the authors are not made intensive review of literature while conducting the study.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	Moderately suitable	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	If the authors can address the basic issue problems mentioned this manuscript may be consider to be published on your esteemed journal.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ambachew Zerfu Gebrewold</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bonga University, Ethiopia</b>