

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_115971
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring Millet Grains: Nutritional Benefits, Processing Advancements, and Future Directions for Food Security and Health Improvement
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Looking at various aspects of millet grains and their nutritional value, this is a good topic to research especially with the recent advancements in processing. 2. Yes 3. Abstract is well written. Needs some literature review though. Also should include the type of research article this is (descriptive, observational?) 4. Please revise headings. Methodology? Results? Discussion? 5. Scientifically correct but needs more literature review. 6. The number of references is sufficient. However, 50% of the references date back to more than 10 years. Please revise the references. <p>This manuscript has a good foundation, topic and title wise, but it lacks the strenght in structure. Sentences are repeated at many places, same concept is being paraphrased and said repeatedly. Please do some literature review and re-write parts of your manuscript. You have a platform to start with, so it should not be a problem.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Yes, mostly.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Please delete the copy pasted paragraphs and the table. Also bring the words to 2500 at the maximum. There is a lot of repetition, please see to it.	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pashmal Yousaf
Department, University & Country	Shaikh Zayed Hospital, Pakistan