

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Soil Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ASRJ_116426
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Heavy Metals Presence in Some Selected Dumpsites within Igboora, Oyo State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The article is very well written, and without a doubt the topic studied is of great importance for humanity. Based on surveys of this kind, the government and the population can establish measures to reduce pollution. Abstract and title are appropriated.</p> <p>The introduction seemed too long and a little repetitive. I missed a more in-depth discussion of the results, such as possible causes for a control sample to have higher levels of metals in some situations. Information such as opening data for each dumpsite, average amount of waste received per day and main sources of waste (such as type of industries and businesses) would enrich the discussion.</p> <p>The bibliography could be a little more updated, most publications are over 10 years old.</p> <p>P.S. There is a typo highlighted in the manuscript file.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Yes.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	After some minor adjustments on the discussion, I recommend this manuscript for publication.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Daiane Tomacheski
Department, University & Country	Brazil