

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_116183
Title of the Manuscript:	Navigating the Portfolio Landscape: Examining Lecturer and Student Perspectives on Portfolio Assessment Practices and Their Influence on Attitudes
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Yes, the manuscript important for scientific community like as Provide information on the perceptions of lecturers and students regarding portfolio assessment practices, Provide information on the overall attitude of lecturers and students towards assessment methods and Institutions should prioritize efforts to improve communication and transparency regarding the purposes, objectives, and procedures of portfolio assessment. Providing clear guidelines, expectations, and opportunities for dialogue can help bridge the gap between lecturers and students. Overall, the findings of this study have the potential to inform pedagogical practices and promote effective assessment strategies in education. Is not appropriate, the title should be made "Lecturers' and Students' Perceptions of Portfolio Assessment Practices and Their Influence on Attitudes" so that it matches the contents of the manuscript. Based on my understanding after reading this article as a whole, this title is more appropriate for the content of this article Yes, the abstract of the article comprehensive because in the abstract explains the research objectives, methods used and the results of the research Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate. which starts from the introduction section which contains the background background, research context, urgency problems, results of primary literature review which forms the basis of the research, the results previous research relevant to the study research, and research objectives down to recommendations and references. Yes I do. I think the manuscript is scientifically correct. In my opinion, the manuscript is scientifically correct because if you look at it from the introduction, the method used to get the results obtained is in accordance with what was researched Yes, the references sufficient and recent. The reference uses data from the last 10 years <p>Additional suggestion :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> To make the research method clearer, it should be made in a flow diagram Overall, this article is good, but in my opinion the number of pages is still too many. It would be better if the literature review section only included important things related to the research objectives. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Yes, language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I think this article is good, only minor revisions are needed to make this article even better</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Apriani Sijabat
Department, University & Country	Universitas HKBP NommensenPematangsiantar, Indonesia