

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_116183
Title of the Manuscript:	Navigating the Portfolio Landscape: Examining Lecturer and Student Perspectives on Portfolio Assessment Practices and Their Influence on Attitudes
Type of the Article	Journal Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, this manuscript contributes to 21st century of assessment method. It informs the readers the important of applying portfolio as learning assessment.</p> <p>2. Yes, but a bit long. I directly suggested and commented in the manuscript. "Navigating the Portfolio Landscape: Examining Lecturers and Students' Perspectives on Portfolio Assessment"</p> <p>3. Yes, but need some information to make it more precise. I directly commented in the manuscript.</p> <p>4. Yes, of course.</p> <p>5. Yes, I do. The manuscript presented with scientific research and ethical conduct.</p> <p>6. I think it is sufficient. However, I am not sure if we need to comply to APA 7th edition. If yes, this manuscript needs to follow APA format including intext citation and reference list.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Yes, the language proficiency is OK; however, author needs carefully proofread again.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Please read my direct comments on your manuscript to improve the quality of your paper.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kaing Sopheap
Department, University & Country	University of Puthisastra, Cambodia