

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_115621
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYLLODES TUMOR OF THE BREAST : A CASE SERIES
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.The sample cases were too small for a one year duration compared to most of similar studies 2.The author did not state whether the hospital that the studies conducted was a Breast and Endocrine referral centre 3.This kind of studies should be conducted in a high volume centre and having merely 10 cases over 1 year is clearly insufficient in order to derive to an unbiased inference 4.Most of the references given in the discussions were contradicting with the author's statements 5.The type of histological findings in each cases were not included in the table while unnecessary data such as HPE numbers were given 6.The data presentation were so brief and does not signifies any statistical values 7.suggest to redo the proper statistical data analysis 8.What is the significance of the table with the label 'presenting symptoms' and their percentages were given?what does that information imply?for me it has no statistical meaning at all 9.was the 1 case of your that underwent a simple mastectomy had an axillary clearance as well?as it was not stated but suddenly there is a reactionary lymphadenitis in the HPE report? 10.How can the author derived into the inference of no recurrence in this case series when the duration of the studies was only 1 year? <p>Suggestion</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.suggest to revise the data of this studies and to present them in a statistical manner instead of a simplified bar charts 2.Please provide references that will support your statements not otherwise 3.Suggests to revise the discussion topic as it seems a bit confusing and misleading 4.Suggest for collaborations of data with other nearby hospitals 5.To create a demographical data of each patients which may include as well the risk factors for Phylloides tumor 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohamed Shafi Bin Mahboob Ali
Department, University & Country	School of Medical Sciences, University Sains Malaysia, Malaysia