



**SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM**

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. All abbreviations should be fully spelled out at first appearance.</li><li>2. How the treatment decisions were made?</li><li>3. Even though this is a retrospective study, follow-up periods are too short to discuss the recurrence and the prognosis.</li><li>4. What were the new findings of this research?</li><li>5. The limitations of this study should be discussed.</li></ol>	<p>The treatment decisions were made on the basis of triple assessment</p> <p>The triple assessment failed to pin point the diagnosis of phyllodes tumors in the study [majority was diagnosed as fibroadenoma pre-operatively] Core biopsy is advisable instead of FNAC in breast lump.</p>