

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_115713
Title of the Manuscript:	Fruit Sorting By Pizzo-Electric Sensor and PLC Controlling
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>		
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Grammar: The paper must write in perfect language where there are many mistakes and linguistic errors. - (but these technologies such) instead of "these technology such as". - (The sorting is based on) instead of "the sorting is based on". - (In this project, we have) instead of "In this project we have". - (The system consists) instead of "The system consist". - (PLC is programming) instead of "PLC is programmed". - The caption of Fig. 2 has an error. - Many spaces are founded between words.</p> <p>Notes: - (The mostly used technology for sorting fruit is ...) instead of "The mostly used technology for sorting is ..." - (The technique is tested on various fruits) instead of "This technique is tested on various fruits". - There is not any Ref. in the first, third, fourth, fifth paragraphs of introduction. - I think the authors cannot evaluate their paper (The paper is well written). - The authors should briefly explain the idea of the paper and the results at the end of the introduction. - (A dc) in the WORKIMG section. What did mean? - Efficiency Calculation: where its formula comes? - K factor must have an upper and lower value. - The Flow chart of system should be explaining as the first Figure in the paper. - Figures must be explained according to the sequence in which they appear in the paper (The figure 5 represents the planned ...). - The conclusion paragraph needs to be rephrased more precisely and clearly.</p> <p>Ref:</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	Most of the paper's References are old: - One Ref. is 2024. - Five Ref.s 2013. - One Ref. for the years (2011 - 2012 - 2014 - 2016 - 2019)	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hussein A. Al-Khamees
Department, University & Country	Information Technology College, Babylon University, Iraq