

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_117486
Title of the Manuscript:	Assess Nurse's Knowledge Toward Venous Thrombosis At Al-Sharqat General Hospital
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljocamr.com/index.php/JOCAMR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, as it is good idea to have objective assessment of knowledge of DVT among healthcare workers</p> <p>Suggested Title “Assessment of ICU and surgical Nurses’ Knowledge Toward Venous Thrombosis At Al-Sharqat General Hospital”</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No, Study needs redesign</p> <p>On this topic we can design study in three ways</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) To know awareness and knowledge about ‘venous thromboembolism’ among nursing staff (of ICU/ hospital) along with analysis of different relevant variables (eg. Qualification, training pattern, years of experience, area of working, degree of involvement of doctors in nursing training, degree of involvement of nurses in treatment plan). It will of a ‘Cohart Study’ 2) To compare awareness and knowledge about ‘venous thromboembolism’ among nursing staff in one group to another group (ICU versus non-ICU area, Hospital A versus Hospital B). It would be a observational comparative study 3) To compare awareness and knowledge about ‘venous thromboembolism’ among nursing staff before intervention (eg teaching and training about VTE) and after intervention and if want to assess sustainability of awareness and knowledge about ‘venous thromboembolism’ in intervention group we can keep control group in which no intervention was done and analyse awareness and knowledge about ‘venous thromboembolism’ among both group after 6 month or 1 year of intervention. It would be interventional case-controlled study and if you randomize before group allotment then it would be randomized control study <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Language needs some attention</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Explained in detail in previous section</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jeetendra Sharma
Department, University & Country	Artemis Hospital Gurgaon, India