

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_117181
Title of the Manuscript:	Urban growth affects the social environment in Yemen's cities: Environmental and climate data in Yemen's cities
Type of the Article	research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript discusses the impact of urban growth on the social environment in Yemen's cities, focusing on the role of environmental and climate data. This is an important topic for the scientific community, as understanding the relationship between urbanization and social dynamics in the context of environmental factors can inform urban planning, policy-making, and sustainability efforts.</p> <p>The title of the article accurately reflects the content and scope of the research. However, to enhance clarity and specificity, a suggested alternative title could be: "The Influence of Urbanization on Social Dynamics in Yemen: Insights from Environmental and Climate Data".</p> <p>The abstract provides a comprehensive overview of the research, summarizing the key findings, methodologies, and implications effectively. However, it could be improved by providing more specific details about the methodology and results.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript appear to be well-organized and appropriate, facilitating readability and understanding. Each section addresses a specific aspect of the research, contributing to a coherent narrative.</p> <p>Overall, the manuscript appears to be scientifically sound, with a clear research question, methodology, and analysis. However, further clarification or validation of certain findings may be necessary to ensure scientific rigor.</p> <p>The references provided seem relevant and up-to-date, contributing to the scholarly context of the research. However, it is advisable to ensure that the references cover a diverse range of perspectives and include recent literature relevant to the topic. Additional references related to urbanization trends, environmental studies, and social dynamics in Yemen could further strengthen the manuscript's background and discussion sections.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language and English quality of the article are generally appropriate for scholarly communication, but there are areas where minor improvements could be made. Clarity and precision could be enhanced through sentence restructuring and attention to grammar and punctuation consistency. It's important to ensure that scientific terminology is used accurately and consistently. Overall, the language quality is satisfactory, but minor revisions would improve coherence and professionalism.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdelmoumen Benchattou
Department, University & Country	Sultan Moulay Slimane University, Morocco