

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JABB_117277 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Foliar Application of Seaweed Extract and Micronutrients on Plant Growth and Yield of Strawberry (Fragaria X Annanassa Duch) CV. Winter Dawn |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journaljabb.com/index.php/JABB/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This article provides important information regarding the potential benefits of integrating seaweed extracts and micronutrients into the cultivation practices of strawberries to enhance overall plant performance and fruit production; 2. The title is adequate to the content of the article; 3. The abstract should be restructured. It should briefly describe the purpose of the work, the techniques and methods used, the main findings with important data and conclusions; 4. The Introduction gives a suitable description of the addressed problem and it shows the significance of the research problem, but is scientifically limited. The methodology used is appropriate to the purpose of the research; The results are interesting and important to researchers in relevant fields of food industry and agriculture, but for the publication of this study, I recommend supplementing it with other determinations to support its importance and opportunity. However, corrections should be made in order to publish the article; 5. The purpose or goal of the work is within the journal's scope. I recommend relating the results obtained from this study to other similar studies, a very important aspect to support the results obtained; 6. Some corrections need to be made. <p>Please, take into account the following recommendations to improve this manuscript:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The general presentation of the manuscript should be reviewed, the extra spaces between words or the lack of spaces between titles, subtitles, tables and text according to the requirements of the journal; - I recommend a revision of the manuscript according to the requirements of the journal (English, technical editing, bibliography, etc.); - I recommend a critical approach to the literature to enable analysis and interpretation of the information, development of an objective viewpoint and the setting of clear objectives; - The theoretical documentation of the study is fragile, it does not include those sources (materials, reports, publications etc.) which offers a direct treatment of the subject to research (a comprehensive review published on the nutritional profile of the green coffee beans ; - The presentation of the results obtained from this study is very short. For the publication of this study, I recommend supplementing it with other determinations to support its importance and opportunity; - I recommend editing tables, so that it does not exceed the settings of the pages; - I recommend unitary editing of tables; - The presentation of the Conclusions is very short. I recommend that conclusions be formulated highlighting the importance of the results obtained; - I recommend the inclusion of a larger number of more recent studies on the subject and their insertion in bibliographic references. <p>Based on the above mentioned, I recommend this paper for publication after performing the suggested corrections.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>I recommend a carefully check of the full manuscript to correct any grammatical or syntax error.</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | | |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Daniela Stoin |
| Department, University & Country | University of Life Sciences "King Michael I" from Timisoara, Romania |