

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_116746
Title of the Manuscript:	Multidrug Resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa Isolated from Chicken: A Public Health Concern.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community. Multi drug resistance is one of the top public health threats. Nowadays many micro-organisms develop resistance and especially <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> being an opportunistic pathogen causes most of the Nosocomial infections. Being opportunistic it is also able to develop resistance against most of the common antibiotics.</p> <p>Yes, the title of the article is suitable. It is Clear.</p> <p>Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive. It provides a clear overview of the research. The abstract is easy to read and understand.</p> <p>Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. The manuscript is easy to follow. The subsections are informative.</p> <p>Yes, I think the manuscript is scientifically correct. The methodology and results are presented in a clear and concise manner.</p> <p>Yes, the references are sufficient and recent.</p> <p>Overall, I think the manuscript is well-written. It is likely to be of interest to a wide range of researchers</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	B. Kanimozhi
Department, University & Country	Dr. NGP Arts and Science College, India