

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_116248
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Different Coatings on the quality and shelf life of Ber (Ziziphus mauritiana)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. <i>The idea is important.</i></p> <p>2. <i>It should be better identified</i></p> <p>3. <i>Yes</i></p> <p>4. <i>No, it needs to be better structured.</i></p> <p>5. <i>No</i></p> <p>6. <i>It needs further references</i></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p><i>There is no negative comment about the language/English quality</i></p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p><i>Comment 1: The conclusion should be further developed</i></p> <p><i>Comment 2: The manuscript seems too brief</i></p> <p><i>Comment 3: There is no discussion of the results of the studies, there is only a statement of the corresponding results.</i></p> <p><i>Comment 4: The references are very limited and too old (1977, 1983, 199, 2001, 2003).</i></p> <p><i>Comment 5: The form of the research manuscript is not appropriate.</i></p> <p><i>Comment 6: Regarding the form, studies on chitosan should be more detailed, such as studies on DDA, viscosity, the mode of transformation of chitin...etc, as this affects the performance of the coating effect.</i></p> <p><i>Comment 7: Biomaterials lack characterization. (Guar, aloe vera, etc.).</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Bachra Yahya</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University Hassan II of Casablanca, Morocco</b>