

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_JEAI_118065
Title of the Manuscript:	Metarhizium rileyi: An Eco-friendly approach for fall armyworm (<i>Spodoptera frugiperda</i>) management in maize
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>		
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Present study aims to address these challenges by preparing formulations, offering a potential solution for more effective fall armyworm management. This study addresses the challenge for effective fall armyworm management by utilizing <i>M. rileyi</i> oil based formulations.</p> <p>The introduction and methods sections of the article contain all the necessary explanations and are written in a fluent language in a very understandable way.</p> <p>Previous studies on <i>Metarhizium rileyi</i> should be included in the introduction.</p> <p>The conclusion should be revised in terms of language and the results should be revised in a simpler and more understandable way.</p> <p>If possible, the results of statistical analysis should be given on bars or on a new chart.</p> <p>Figure 1 is difficult to understand. What does the x-axis mean (number of larvae?)</p> <p>The article has been evaluated as publishable in your journal in line with the above mentioned suggestions.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Soner Soylu
Department, University & Country	Kemal University, Turkey