

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_JEAI_117929
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of planting dates on NPK in soybean plants and seeds across different cultivars.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes it is important as dates of sowing is simple strategy to over come many of the climate change factors</p> <p>Impact of planting dates on NPK content in soybean plants and seeds across different cultivars.</p> <p>Comprehensive, but included some unnecessary introductory part</p> <p>Only Two tables are sufficient for the information given in the article.It is better to provide nutrient content (all NPK) in plant in one single table and nutrient content (all NPK) in seed in another single table</p> <p>The design followed for experiment was not given and total number of treatments were only nine and it shows not possible for statistical analysis. Hence it is very much necessary to mention which are all the treatments included whether another factor was also there or not ? Soil and climatic condition of the experimental area was not given, varietal charecters were also not given</p> <p>Discussion was not at all there for supporting the result</p> <p>References were not properly written in the result</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Improvement of language or rearrangement of the sentences are required in many places</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shwetha B N
Department, University & Country	University of Agricultural Sciences, India