

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Original Manuscript_JAMMR_117618</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>ANALYSIS OF THE FUNCTIONAL KINETIC PERFORMANCE OF MMII OF A GROUP OF AMATEUR RUNNERS FROM THE MUNICIPALITY OF GURUPI, Brazil</b>
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. It is a well-written and rigorously conducted manuscript which will contribute valuable knowledge to a particular field, advancing scientific understanding and potentially influencing future research directions.</p> <p>2. The title &amp; quot; ANALYSIS OF THE FUNCTIONAL KINETIC PERFORMANCE OF MMII OF A GROUP OF AMATEUR RUNNERS FROM THE MUNICIPALITY OF GURUPI, Brazil appears suitable for a scientific article, as it clearly conveys the focus of the study. It indicates that the research aims to identify factors that are linked to the sports performance of athletes &amp; different games players.</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. Yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>The English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ankit Singh Jadon</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Swami Vivekanand Subharti University, India</b>