

# Performance of different Pumpkin (*Cucurbita moschata*) hybrids for Growth, Yield and Quality under Prayagraj Agro-Climatic Condition

## Abstract

An experimental material comprised of 10 hybrid of pumpkin viz., SADABAHAR, PK-2507 F<sub>1</sub> hybrid, P6 F<sub>1</sub> hybrid, P6 Gold F<sub>1</sub> hybrid, SS-76 F<sub>1</sub> hybrid, SURAJ F<sub>1</sub> hybrid, SIPRA F<sub>1</sub> hybrid, PRITVI F<sub>1</sub> hybrid, LHP-SINGHAM F<sub>1</sub> hybrid, SW-1001 F<sub>1</sub> hybrid. The investigation was carried out in a Randomized Block Design with three replications. Main Experiment Station of the Department of Vegetable Science at Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences Prayagraj (U.P) during the spring season. Present investigation revealed that pumpkin hybrids viz, vine length cm (SW-1001 F<sub>1</sub> hybrid), no of braches (PK-2507 F<sub>1</sub> hybrid) performance best in term of growth. Two hybrids namely LHP-SINGHAM F<sub>1</sub> hybrid and SW-1001 F<sub>1</sub> hybrid performance best in term of yield. For the maximum number of fruit per plant and minimum node number for the first staminate flower anthesis was observed in the case of LHP-SINGHAM F<sub>1</sub> hybrid which is promoted to earliness and high yielding. Two hybrids namely P6 Gold F<sub>1</sub> hybrid TSS (°Brix), LHP-SINGHAM F<sub>1</sub> hybrid Vitamin c /100gm.

**Key word: Pumpkin, Hybrids, Earliness, Yield**

## INTRODUCTION

“Pumpkin (*Cucurbita moschata* Duch. ex Poir) originated in Central Mexico and is cultivated in the tropical and subtropical regions of the world. It is an important cucurbitaceous vegetable crop of India, constituting a principal ingredient in several Indian dishes. Pumpkin has received little attention in crop improvement compared to other cucurbitaceous vegetables.

Pumpkin belongs to the family Cucurbitaceae having chromosome number  $2n=2x=40$ . There are 27 species under the genus *Cucurbita*, five of which are in cultivation. These are *C. moschata*, *C. maxima*, *C. ficifolia*, *C. pepo*, and *C. mixta*, commonly known as pumpkin” (Jahan *et al.*, 2012). “Pumpkin fruits are extensively used as vegetables both in the immature and mature stages and the matured fruits can be stored for 2-4 months” (Yawalkar,

1991).“Pumpkin is relatively high in energy values, and carbohydrates, a good source of vitamins, especially high in carotenoid, pigments and minerals” (Bose and Som 1998). “In India, it is mainly grown in Assam, West Bengal, Tamil Nadu, Karnataka, Madhya Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Orissa, Kerala, and Bihar. The total area of pumpkins in India is 108 ‘000 hectares whereas, the total production is 2245 (‘000 MT) with a productivity of 21.71 t/ha” (Anonymous, 2020-21). Pumpkin is monoecious and highly cross-pollinated in nature. Like other cucurbits, inbreeding depression is negligible in *Cucurbita* even after prolonged selfing (Whitaker, 1974). “Pumpkin is cultivated for its green and mature fruits which are used as a cooked vegetable, processed food, and stock feed. The flesh is delicious when stewed, boiled, or baked. The fully ripened fruit becomes sweetish, which can be used for preparing delicious *Halwa*, *Jam*, and other sweets. Pumpkin is a summer season vegetable under the north Indian climatic condition, it is mainly cultivated both in spring-summer (February-June) and rainy (July-November). The grower needs an early maturity and high-yielding pumpkin variety. Therefore, this study aimed to evaluate growth, earliness, and yield under the agro-climatic condition of the north Indian plain. Pumpkin has received little attention in crop improvement, as compared to other Cucurbitaceous vegetables. Since ancient times, a wide number of hybrids are available conscious evaluation and exploitation of hybrids have not been attended to until recently” (Panse and Sukhatme, 1984). This is very helpful for a plant breeder in developing a commercial variety with market preference by determining the component characters on which selection can be exercised based on the improvement in growth, yield and quality. “Collection and evaluation of hybrids is a pre-requisite in any improvement program to select high-

yielding genotypes with desirable attributes viz., growth, earliness, high yield, and quality” (Verma et al., 2023). Therefore, a trial for characterization and evaluation of presently available pumpkin hybrid was carried out to identify the potential cultivar for growth, yield, earliness and quality.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

An experimental material comprised of 10 pumpkin hybrid. The investigation was carried out in a Randomized Block Design with three replications at the Main Experiment Station of the Department of Horticulture Vegetable Science at Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences Prayagraj (U.P) during the spring season with 10 pumpkin hybrids. Each hybrids was sown in one rows with 1.5 m length spaced, 1.5 m with plant to plant spacing of 1.5 m in each replication. The experiment was sown on 02 Feb, 2023. All the recommended agronomic package of practices and plant protection measure were followed to raise a good crop. Observations were recorded for node number to first male flower, node number to first female flower, days to first male flower, days to first female flower, days to first fruit harvest, number of primary branches, fruit polar diameter (cm), fruit equatorial circumference (cm), vine length (m), number of fruits per plant, average fruit weight (kg) and fruit yield per plant (kg.). All the statistical analysis was carried out using OPSTAT statistical software. The analysis of variance among genotypes was estimated by using statistics analysis (Panse and Sukhatme, 1984).

## **RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

The mean performances of 10 hybrids in respect of 4 characters have been presented in **(Table 1)** and the same is described character-wise in the ensuing paragraphs for the mean performance of hybrids respectively. The mean performances of 10 hybrids in respect of 7 characters have been presented in **(Table 2)** and the same is described character-wise in the ensuing paragraphs for the mean performance of hybrids respectively. The mean performances of 10 hybrids in respect of 4 characters have been presented in **(Table 3)** and the same is described character-wise in the ensuing paragraphs for the mean performance of hybrids respectively. The mean performances of 10 hybrids in respect of 5 characters have been presented in **(Table 4)** and the same is described character-wise in the ensuing paragraphs for the mean performance of hybrids respectively. Mean performances of 10 hybrids in respect of 2 characters have been presented in **(Table 5)** and the same is described character-wise in the ensuing paragraphs for the mean performance of hybrids respectively.

### **Growth parameter**

1. In terms of growth parameter like, no of leaves were highest in hybrid PK-2507 F<sub>1</sub>hybrid (49.08).**(Table 1)**This might be due to the genetic constitution of the varieties. The differential response of vegetative growth of the different may be due to differences in genetic constituents of the varieties.
2. In terms of growth parameter like vine length were highest in hybrid SW-1001 F<sub>1</sub>hybrid 322.25 cm **(Table 1)** for the better yield and healthy growth of plant, the provide certain amount of nutrients which help in the growth of plants. The differential response of vegetative

growth of the different may be due to differences in genetic constituents of the varieties.

3. In terms of growth parameter like no of Branches per plant were highest in hybrid PK-2507 F<sub>1</sub>hybrid (3.08) **(Table 1)** for the better yield and healthy growth of plant, the provide certain amount of nutrients which help in the growth of plants. The differential response of vegetative growth of the different may be due to differences in genetic constituents of the varieties.
4. In terms of growth parameter like no of nodes per plant were highest in hybrid SADABAHAR (48.58). **(Table 1)** The differential response of vegetative growth of the different may be due to differences in genetic constituents of the varieties.

### **Earliness parameter**

1. Days to first appearance of male flower was observed in SW-1001 F<sub>1</sub>hybrid (59.83), days to first appearance of female flower was also found in the same hybrid at SW-1001 F<sub>1</sub>hybrid (58.33). **(Table 2)** This may be due occurrence of early flowering is basically a genetic character of each hybrids. The male flower come early reason high temperature. Better environmental conditions and available nutrients seems to have brought quick changes in plant growth and development.
2. Node no. at which male flower appears was observed in PK-2507 F<sub>1</sub>hybrid (2.33), Node no. at which female flower appears was observed in SURAJ F<sub>1</sub>hybrid (11.5). **(Table 2)** Better environmental conditions and available nutrients seems to

have brought quick changes in plant growth and development.

3. Number of male flower was observed in hybrid SW-1001F<sub>1</sub>hybrid (7.83), Number of female flower was observed in hybrid LHP-SINGHAM F<sub>1</sub>hybrid (4.16) (**Table 2**) due to the influence of environment the number of male : female flower more and less.
4. Days of 50% Flowering female (57.33) to PK-2507 F<sub>1</sub>hybrid (61.33).(**Table 2**) Better environmental conditions and available nutrients seems to have brought quick changes in plant growth and development.

### Yield parameter

1. In terms of yield parameter like, fruit diameter were high in hybrid PRITVI F<sub>1</sub>hybrid (17.82). (**Table 3**) This might be due to the favourable climatic conditions and sufficient accumulation of nutrients in the open condition.
2. In terms of yield parameter like, number of fruits per plant were high in hybrid LHP-SINGHAM F<sub>1</sub>hybrid (4.16). (**Table 3**) This might be due to the favourable climatic conditions and sufficient accumulation of nutrients in the open condition.

3. In terms of yield parameter like, fruit weight in kg were high in hybrid SS-76 F<sub>1</sub> hybrid (2.52). (**Table 3**) This might be due to the favourable climatic conditions and sufficient accumulation of nutrients in the open condition.
4. In terms of yield parameter like, Yield Per plant in kg were high in hybrid LHP-SINGHAM F<sub>1</sub>hybrid (9.03). (**Table 3**) This might be due to the favourable climatic conditions and sufficient accumulation of nutrients in the open condition.

### Quality parameter

1. In quality parameter the maximum tss (°Brix) content P6 Gold F<sub>1</sub> hybrid (3.33) (**Table 4**) TSS is an important quality attribute of pumpkin . An increase in this parameter improves the flavour and increases the palatability.
2. The high Vitamin c /100gm LHP-SINGHAM F<sub>1</sub>hybrid (1.93) (**Table 4**) Generally, the higher ascorbic acid content would increase the nutritive value of pumpkin, Pumpkin varieties and hybrids possessing ascorbic acid content are in great demand in export markets which may be due to differences in genetic constituents of the varieties.

Notations	Hybrids	No. of leaves	Vine Length in cm	No of Branches per plant	No of Nodes per plant
-----------	---------	---------------	-------------------	--------------------------	-----------------------

H1	SADABAHAR	48.58	<b>280.50</b>	2.41	<b>48.58</b>
H2	PK-2507 F <sub>1</sub> hybrid	<b>49.08</b>	295.41	<b>3.08</b>	48.41
H3	P6 F <sub>1</sub> hybrid	46.91	292.75	2.25	46.91
H4	P6 Gold F <sub>1</sub> hybrid	45.58	283.41	<b>2.25</b>	44.66
H5	SS-76 F <sub>1</sub> hybrid	<b>43.75</b>	310.50	2.5	<b>43.41</b>
H6	SURAJ F <sub>1</sub> hybrid	44.66	282.08	2.5	45.33
H7	SIPRA F <sub>1</sub> hybrid	45.66	311.33	2.75	45.66
H8	PRITVI F <sub>1</sub> hybrid	45.58	306.50	3.00	45.58
H9	LHP- SINGHAM F <sub>1</sub> hybrid	46.33	321.00	2.95	46.33
H10	SW-1001 F <sub>1</sub> hybrid	45.66	<b>322.25</b>	2.58	45.66
F test (S/NS)		S	S	S	S
S.Ed (+)		0.888	9.556	0.165	0.889
C.D. 5%		2.639	28.392	0.49	2.641
C.V.		3.331	5.507	10.861	3.343

**Table 1: Performance Of different Hybrids of pumpkin for Growth Parameters**

**Table 2: Performance Of different Hybrids of pumpkin for Flowering Parameters**

Notations	Hybrids	Days to first appear of male flower	Days to first appear of female flower	Node no. at which male flower appears	Node no. at which female flower appears
H1	SADABAHAR	61.16	60.16	2.83	14.16
H2	PK-2507 F <sub>1</sub> hybrid	62.33	<b>61.67</b>	<b>2.33</b>	14.25
H3	P6 F <sub>1</sub> hybrid	63.16	58.58	3.91	14.83
H4	P6 Gold F <sub>1</sub> hybrid	60.83	61.16	3.16	14
H5	SS-76 F <sub>1</sub> hybrid	62.83	60.5	2.91	14.16
H6	SURAJ F <sub>1</sub> hybrid	<b>64.33</b>	60.25	<b>4.66</b>	<b>11.5</b>
H7	SIPRA F <sub>1</sub> hybrid	60.58	60.08	3.58	14.08
H8	PRITVI F <sub>1</sub> hybrid	64.83	60.67	3.33	13.67
H9	LHP- SINGHAM F <sub>1</sub> hybrid	62.66	60.33	3	<b>15.41</b>
H10	SW-1001 F <sub>1</sub> hybrid	<b>59.83</b>	<b>58.33</b>	2.41	14.25
F test (S/NS)		<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>
S.Ed (+)		<b>0.721</b>	<b>0.602</b>	<b>0.239</b>	<b>0.629</b>
C.D. 5%		<b>2.141</b>	<b>1.79</b>	<b>0.711</b>	<b>1.868</b>
C.V.		<b>2.005</b>	<b>1.734</b>	<b>12.893</b>	<b>7.76</b>

**Table 3: Performance Of different Hybrids of pumpkin for Flowering Parameters**

Notations	Hybrids	Number of male flower	Number of female flowers	Male:Female ratio	Days of 50% Flowering female
H1	SADABAHAR	12.50	7.61	1.64	57.33
H2	PK-2507 F <sub>1</sub> hybrid	9.70	6.59	1.47	<b>61.33</b>
H3	P6 F <sub>1</sub> hybrid	11.30	7.34	1.54	61
H4	P6 Gold F <sub>1</sub> hybrid	13.24	5.69	2.33	60.67
H5	SS-76 F <sub>1</sub> hybrid	9.10	6.53	1.39	57.67
H6	SURAJ F <sub>1</sub> hybrid	<b>8.56</b>	8.34	1.03	59.67
H7	SIPRA F <sub>1</sub> hybrid	10.36	9.40	1.10	59.67
H8	PRITVI F <sub>1</sub> hybrid	11.20	7.89	1.42	57.33
H9	LHP-SINGHAM F <sub>1</sub> hybrid	12.50	8.96	1.40	60
H10	SW-1001 F <sub>1</sub> hybrid	<b>14.65</b>	8.01	1.83	<b>57.33</b>
F test (S/NS)		<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>
S.Ed (+)		<b>0.33</b>	<b>0.22</b>	<b>0.17</b>	<b>0.623</b>
C.D. 5%		<b>0.70</b>	<b>0.47</b>	<b>0.37</b>	<b>1.85</b>
C.V.		<b>3.64</b>	<b>3.60</b>	<b>13.77</b>	<b>1.822</b>

**Table 4: Performance Of different Hybrids of pumpkin for Yield Parameters**

Notations	Hybrids	Fruit Diameter in cm	Number of fruits per plant	Fruit weight in kg	Yield Per plant in kg	Fruit yield ton/ hectare
H1	SADABAHAR	16.69	3.08	<b>1.57</b>	4.84	21.49
H2	PK-2507 F <sub>1</sub> hybrid	16.19	3.08	1.9	5.85	26.01
H3	P6 F <sub>1</sub> hybrid	16.69	3.11	2.27	7.06	31.37
H4	P6 Gold F <sub>1</sub> hybrid	16.86	<b>2.41</b>	2.38	5.74	25.49
H5	SS-76 F <sub>1</sub> hybrid	17.37	2.66	<b>2.52</b>	6.70	29.79
H6	SURAJ F <sub>1</sub> hybrid	<b>14.83</b>	3.25	1.55	5.04	22.39
H7	SIPRA F <sub>1</sub> hybrid	17.26	2.66	2.27	6.04	26.83
H8	PRITVI F <sub>1</sub> hybrid	<b>17.82</b>	3.83	1.81	6.93	30.81
H9	LHP-SINGHAM F <sub>1</sub> hybrid	16.70	<b>4.16</b>	2.17	9.03	40.12
H10	SW-1001 F <sub>1</sub> hybrid	17.6	3.33	2.31	7.69	34.18
F test (S/NS)		<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>
S.Ed (+)		<b>0.436</b>	<b>0.288</b>	<b>0.093</b>	<b>0.17</b>	<b>0.31</b>
C.D. 5%		<b>1.295</b>	<b>0.856</b>	<b>0.276</b>	<b>0.37</b>	<b>0.65</b>
C.V.		<b>4.492</b>	<b>15.791</b>	<b>7.747</b>	--	<b>1.33</b>

**Table 5: Performance Of different Hybrids of pumpkin forQuality Parameters**

<b>Notations</b>	<b>Hybrids</b>	<b>TSS (°Brix).</b>	<b>Vitamin c /100gm</b>
<b>H1</b>	SADABAHAR	2.33	1.30
<b>H2</b>	PK-2507 F <sub>1</sub> hybrid	2.82	1.63
<b>H3</b>	P6 F <sub>1</sub> hybrid	2.38	1.58
<b>H4</b>	P6 Gold F <sub>1</sub> hybrid	3.33	1.86
<b>H5</b>	SS-76 F <sub>1</sub> hybrid	2.76	1.9
<b>H6</b>	SURAJ F <sub>1</sub> hybrid	2.78	1.6
<b>H7</b>	SIPRA F <sub>1</sub> hybrid	2.48	1.84
<b>H8</b>	PRITVI F <sub>1</sub> hybrid	2.83	1.87
<b>H9</b>	LHP-SINGHAM F <sub>1</sub> hybrid	2.76	1.93
<b>H10</b>	SW-1001 F <sub>1</sub> hybrid	3.06	1.88
<b>F test (S/NS)</b>		<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>
<b>S.Ed (+)</b>		<b>0.144</b>	<b>0.066</b>
<b>C.D. 5%</b>		<b>0.428</b>	<b>0.197</b>
<b>C.V.</b>		<b>9.056</b>	<b>6.61</b>

## CONCLUSIONS

From the present investigation it is concluded that among 10 hybrids of Pumpkin evaluated for growth term hybrid PK-2507 F<sub>1</sub> hybrid 49.08 leaves, vine length SWS-1001 322.25 cm, yield term hybrid LHP-SINGHAM F<sub>1</sub> hybrid 40.12 tonne/ha fruit yield and quality term hybrid P6 Gold F<sub>1</sub> hybrid 3.33 TSS (°Brix), under Prayagraj Agro-climatic condition. Among 10 hybrids evaluated, hybrid LHP-SINGHAM F<sub>1</sub> hybrid performed best in terms of yield.

The highest benefit cost ratio was also seen in the same hybrid LHP-SINGHAM F<sub>1</sub> hybrid with (3.56)

## Acknowledgement.

I am very grateful to the Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences Prayagraj (Uttar Pradesh), India for providing the facilities and carrying out the research. Additionally, I would like to extend my sincere gratitude to Dr. Samir Esbon Topno, Assistant Professor, Department of Horticulture Vegetable Science, SHUATS, Prayagraj, who kindly provided meticulous guidance, supervision, prodigious discussion, and exceptional cooperation throughout the entirety of an investigation and setup of this research work.

## REFERENCES

**Bose, T. K. and M. G. Som (1998).**

Vegetable crops in India. Naya Prokash, Calcutta, India. pp. 92-95.

**Hanson, G.H.; Robinson, H.F. and Comstock, R.E. (1956).** Heritability in broad sense. *Agronomy Journal*, 48:268-271.

**Hanson, G.H., Robinson, H.F. and Comstock, R.E. (1956).** Biometrical studies

of yield in segregating population of Korean Lespedeza. *Agronomy Journal* 267-282. 48:

**Harshawardhan choudhary, Hari Hara Ram and Singh, D.K. (2003)** Genetic Diversity studies in Musk melon. *Annual agricultural Research*, 24(2):345-349.

**Jahan, T.A., A.K.M.A. Islam, M.G. Rasul, M.A.K. Mian and M.M. Haque, (2012).** Heterosis of qualitative and quantitative characters in a sweet gourd (*Cucurbita moschata* Duch. ex Poir). *African J. Food, Agr. Nutr. Dev.*, 12(3), 6186-6199.

**Kumar, P. A., Suseela, T., Dorajeerao, A. V. D., & Sujatha, R. V. (2018).** Study on correlation coefficient analysis of the yield contributing characters of different pumpkin cultivars under coastal AP conditions. *J. Pharmacog Phytochem.*, 7(2), 1981-1984.

**Kiramana, J. K.; Isutsa, D. K. and Nyende, A. B. (2016).** Evaluation of quantitative yield in pumpkin accession in Kenya using mother trails. *J. A. B. R.*, vol 6(4): 439-447.

**Kumar, J.; Singh, R. K and Pal, K. (2011).** Variability and character association in pumpkin (*Cucurbita moschata*. ex. Poir.). *Ind. J. Agri.*, P. 87-90.

**Rajan, S. and Markose, B. L. (2001).** Pumpkin (*Cucurbita moschata* Duch. ex. Poir.). In *Vegetables, Tuber Crops and Spices*, S. Thamburaj and N. Singh (eds), ICAR, New Delhi, pp. 292-301.

**Satkar, K. P., A.A. Kulthe and P.R. Chalke (2013).** Preparation of bitter gourd ready-to-serve beverage and effect of storage temperature on its keeping quality. *The Bioscan.*, 8(1), 115-117.

**Radunic, M., Jazbec, A., Pecina, M., Cosic, T. and Paviicic, N. (2011).** Growth

and yield of the sweet cherry (*Prunus avium* L.) as affected by training system. *African Journal of Biotechnology* **10**(24) pp. 4901-4906.

**Rafeek, M.R., Helmy, Y.I., Ahmed, A.A. and Ghoname, A. (2016).** Effect of foliar application of GA, and Ethrel on growth, sex expression and yield of summer squash (*Cucurbitapeop* L.) *International Journal of Chem TechResearch.* **9**(6): 70-76.

**Rahman, M. S., Norton, G. W. and Rashid, M. H. A. (2018).** Economic impacts of integrated pest management on vegetables production in Bangladesh. *Crop Protection* 113, 6-14.

**Seshadri, V.S. (1986).** **Cucurbits.** Vegetable crops in India. Published by Naya Prakash. 206 Bidhan Sarani, Calcutta. pp. 91-160.

**Sharma, D., Sharma, V. K., and Kumari, A. (2018).** Effect of Spacing and Training on Growth and Yield of Polyhouse Grown Hybrid Cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci* **7**(5). Pp. 1844-1852

**Tindall, H. D. (1987).** Vegetables in the tropics. Macmillan Education, London. pp. 166.

Whitaker, T. W. (1974). *Cucurbita*. In Handbook of Genetics, R. C. King (eds), pp. 135-144.

**Yawalkar, K. S. (1991).** Vegetable crop in India Agri-Horticultural Publishing House, Nagpur, pp 182-186.

Verma AK, Singh P, Singh AK, Prajapati J, Gangwar V, Singh H, Singh VB, Mishra AC. Performance of Pumpkin (*Cucurbita moschata* Duchex. Poir) Genotypes for Earliness and Yield Parameters. *Biological*