



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
1. -the article needs extensive correction of the English language	Done
2. -the Introduction section needs reformulation and reorganization, because some sentences are repeated and it's confusing to the reader	Done
3. -the author should specify the clinic where the described intervention took place, and the time as well	Mentioned
4. -the ethical aspects should be addressed (ethical approval provided by the institutional committee)	This case was approved by implant committee of the college, since it is an academic institute and meetings are conducted by implant committee every week certificates are not granted.
5. -paragraph 2 of the Case presentation section: what is "open irt"? Please explain or change	Changed
6. -please change abbreviation "alveolus wrt#36 region"	Changed
7. -RCT, IOPA – abbreviations should be explained the first time they appear in text	Changed
8. -the titles of the figures should be written in the same style, according to the recommendations available on journal site	Done
9. -Figure 2 – please complete the title as recommended by reviewer	Changed
10. -in the Discussion section the authors should also discuss about similar cases in the literature. The Discussion section is too brief.	Cases are not in particular to posterior mandible, more full mouths are present.
11. -the Conclusions should refer to the presented case, not to general aspects from literature; this section should be changed accordingly in the Abstract	Done
12. -most of the references are old (much older than 5 years). Please replace with newer references (not only one).	Changes are done only recent articles are added.