

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_117035
Title of the Manuscript:	An ethnobotanical survey of plants used by communities around Jaunsar- Bawar region of Uttarakhand, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for the scientific community as it details the ethnobotanical relevance of some plant species.</p> <p>2. Yes, it is suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract follows the proper format and is organized well. However, there are many grammatical errors that need to be checked.</p> <p>4. The subsections are okay but lack complete details and depth. The analysis is not present, especially in the Results and Discussion. A lot of things need to be done on this manuscript.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct, but the data collection method needs to be checked. Some methods are confusing and need to be reworded due to misuse of some terms.</p> <p>6. The references in the introduction portion are good. But, on the other sections, it must be improved a lot.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The grammatical errors are massive. If possible, the author needs a language editor. Please see the paper for comments.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gicel Christine Paclibar
Department, University & Country	Tyler High School, Lyceum of the Philippines University, Philippines