

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEAI_116915</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Resource Use Efficiency of Cut Roses Farming in Karnataka</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community. Growing ornamental plants, namely roses, is a noble activity that "gives colour to life". So research into cultivation technologies is always welcome.</b></li> <li>2. <b>Yes, the title of the article is suitable.</b></li> <li>3. <b>The abstract of the article is well done.</b></li> <li>4. <b>Yes, the structure and subsection of the manuscript are appropriate for a research article. The article have Introduction, objectives and methodology (Material and methods), Results and discussion.</b></li> <li>5. <b>Yes, I think the manuscript is scientifically correct.</b></li> <li>6. <b>The references are relatively sufficient (9) and all of them are over 2010. The problem is that: much of the bibliography is not in the text of the article!</b></li> </ol> <p><b>Below are references that are found only in text:</b> Chawla <i>et al.</i>, 2016 Anonymous, 2017, 2021 and 2022 in text, appear at references Anonymous A, Anonymous B and Anonymous C 2017. <b>I think there's a mistake here.</b></p> <p><b>Examples of references that are not found in bibliography:</b> Amarnath and Vendhan, T. K., (2017); Gunabhagya and Guledgudda, S. S., (2017); Laxmi, N. T. and Mundinamani, S. M., (2015); Manjunath, K. Et Al. (2013); Mokidul Islam and Kalita, D. C., (2016); Pawar et Al., 2016. - <b>6 of 9 references can only be found in the bibliography and are not found in the text!</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>The language quality are suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>In addition to those mentioned, I have made <b>a lot of small corrections in the full article with the red colour</b>. In the text there are many editing mistakes (lack of spaces between words). <b>Please correct the whole text!</b> In my opinion, the article can be published only after all the problems have been corrected.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mihai- Iacob Bențea</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine from Cluj-Napoca (USAMVCN), Romania</b>